Macro- and microvascular functions in cystic fibrosis adults without cardiovascular risk factors: A case-control study
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Abstract

Increasing survival from cystic fibrosis show untypical systems involvement, such as cardiocirculatory. In particular, the presence of CFTR in smooth muscle and endothelial cells, systemic inflammation and oxidative stress could explain vascular alterations in these patients. We aimed at noninvasively evaluating macro- and microvascular dysfunction in cystic fibrosis adults without cardiovascular risk factors. Twenty-two adults affected by cystic fibrosis and 24 healthy volunteers matched for age and sex were enrolled. None had known cardiovascular risk factors. All people underwent blood pressure measurement, microvascular function assessment by EndoPAT-2000 device (calculating RH-PAT index) and macrovascular evaluation by pulse wave velocity (PWV). RH-PAT index was significantly lower in patients than in controls (1.74±0.59 vs 2.33±0.34; p<0.001). Thirteen patients of 22 had a value inferior to the threshold of 1.67 (59.1%), while no controls had (p<0.001). Carotid-femoral PWV did not differ between the two groups (5.2±1.5 m/s vs 5.4±1.1; p=0.9), while brachial-ankle one did (11.0±2.2 m/s vs 10.1±0.8 m/s; p=0.04). Adults patients affected by cystic fibrosis showed peripheral endothelial dysfunction, which is the first alteration in atherosclerotic phenomenon. Moreover, arterial stiffness measured by PWV unclearly seems to differ respect of healthy people, perhaps because PWV alterations are typical of above 50 years old people. It is unclear what prognostic role of future developing of atherosclerotic disease these findings could be, but it seems evident that cystic fibrosis directly affects cardiovascular system itself.

Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is the most common life-threatening autosomal recessive disease in Caucasian race, involving approximately 1/2500 newborns. It is caused by more than 1900 different mutations of CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene, located on the 7th chromosome and codifying for a transmembrane chloride channel. Typical symptoms regard the pneumological and gastrointestinal systems. Despite of the comorbidities and the severity of this pathology, survival is still increasing; in fact today about 50% of patients join adulthood [1,2]. A prolonged survival, thus, favors the appearance of new complications and the involvement of other systems [3]. In particular, the presence of chronic inflammation due to the pathophysiology of the transmembrane channel in the airways leads to particular consequences in heart and blood vessels [4]. Arterial stiffening is a hallmark of the ageing process in healthy people, in atherosclerotic patients and even in those who suffer from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [5-8]. Moreover, it predicts cardiovascular (CV) adverse events, such as myocardial infarction, heart failure and overall mortality [9]. Few studies have been published to explore vascular changes in CF but their prognostic implications are still unknown. The aim of the present study was to explore both macrovascular (arterial stiffness) and microvascular (peripheral endothelium) functions in adult patients affected by CF, compared with healthy controls.

Patients and Methods

We enrolled 22 patients affected by CF, followed by the Cystic Fibrosis Center, Pediatric Department, University of Brescia and...
the Pulmonology Unit of ASST Spedali Civili of Brescia, Italy. They were compared with 24 healthy volunteers matched for age, sex and body mass index (BMI). Cases and controls were accurately selected without known CV risk factors. Every one underwent blood pressure measurement, micro- and macrovascular evaluation.

Pressure measurement

Blood pressure was assessed using a standard, calibrated sphygmomanometer. The mean of three sitting and standing blood pressure was recorded. The arm in which the highest sitting diastolic pressures was found was the arm used for all subsequent readings throughout the study. Every effort was made to have the same staff member obtain blood pressure measurements in each individual patient, at the same time of day, using the same equipment. Systolic pressure was recorded when the initial sound is heard (Phase I of the Korotkoff sound), while diastolic pressure at the disappearance of the sound (Phase V of the Korotkoff sound). The cuff was deflated at a rate not greater than 2 mmHg/sec.

Spirometrical test

Lung function was measured evaluating: Slow vital capacity (SVC), inspiratory capacity (IC), flow-volume curve with evaluation of forced expiratory volume (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), Tiffeneau index (FEV1/SVC), mean forced expiratory flow (FEF25-75%), plethysmographic pulmonary volumes with calculation of total lung capacity (TLC), functional residual capacity (FRC), residual volume (RV), carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLCO), respiratory muscle strength (maximal inspiratory and expiratory pressure: MIP and MEP).

Pulmonary function tests were obtained using Vmax 22 pulmonary function analysis machines: spirometer with mass flow meter and Autobox 6200 (Sensormedics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA). The American Thoracic Society guidelines were used to perform the tests of pulmonary function. The theoretical values used as reference were those reported by ATS/ERS [10] and Zapletal [11].

Peripheral endothelial function

Microvascular function was measured with peripheral arterial tonometry (PAT) signals, obtained using the EndoPAT-2000 device (Itamar Medical Ltd., Caesarea, Israel), which has been validated and used previously to assess peripheral arterial tone in other populations [12-16]. Specially designed finger probes were placed on the middle finger of each subject’s hands. These probes comprised a system of inflatable latex air cuffs connected by pneumatic tubes to an inflating device controlled through a computer algorithm. A constant counter pressure (pre-determined by baseline diastolic blood pressure) was applied through the air cushions. This prevented venous pooling, thus avoiding veno-arteriolar reflex vasoconstriction. There was no occlusion of arterial blood flow. Pulsatile volume changes of the distal digit induced pressure alterations in the finger cuff, which were sensed by pressure transducers and transmitted to and recorded by the EndoPAT-2000 device. A decrease in the arterial blood volume in the distal fingertip caused a decrease in pulsatile arterial column changes, reflected as a decrease in the measured PAT signal, and vice versa. Endothelial function was measured via reactive hyperemia-PAT index (RH–PAT index): a reactive hyperemia protocol consisted of a 5 min baseline measurement, after which a blood pressure cuff on the test arm was inflated to 60 mmHg above baseline systolic blood pressure or at least 200 mmHg for 5 min. Occlusion of pulsatile arterial flow was confirmed by the reduction of the PAT tracing to zero. After 5 min, the cuff was deflated, and the PAT tracing was recorded for a further 5 min. The ratio of the PAT signal after cuff release compared with baseline was calculated through a computer algorithm automatically normalizing for baseline signal and indexed to the contra lateral arm. The calculated ratio normal value was above 1.67, while ≤1.67 was considered endothelial dysfunction.

Pulse wave analysis

To evaluate vascular rigidity we used applanation tonometry with Vascular Explorer (Enverdis GmbH, Jena, Germany); it calculated arterial stiffness parameters from oscillatory recorded pressure waves of the brachial and anterior tibial arteries. Using inflatable upper and lower arm cuffs with high fidelity sensors, pulsatile volume changes (resulting from pulsatile fluctuations of the arteries) were transduced into pressure curves. Pulse waves were recorded when the arteries were completely occluded at a cuff pressure that was 35-40 mmHg above systolic blood pressure. A computer software was used to further analyze the recorded pulse waves. Pulse transit time (PTT) was determined from the decomposition of the general aortic pressure wave using the reflection method. This measurement is based on the fact that the forward traveling pulse wave (generated by the ejection of the left ventricle) is reflected in the periphery creating a second reflected wave. PTT was determined from the difference in milliseconds between the forward and the beginning of the reflected pressure wave, and aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) was automatically calculated from PTT and travelling distance between jugulum (sternal notch) and symphysis pubica (according to manufacturer recommendations). We evaluated three different PWVs calculated by the software: brachial-ankle PWV was registered by means of simultaneous cuff measurements taken on the upper arm and ankle at diastolic pressure (foot-foot measurement of the time difference between both pressure waves), aortic PWV was measured using the reflection method under brachial stop/flow conditions (foot- foot measurement of the time difference for the direct pulse wave and the pulse wave reflected at the bifurcation), while carotid-femoral PWV was calculated from brachial-ankle and aortic PWVs. We followed the European recommendations for carotid-femoral PWV, multiplying for 0.8 the ratio between the measured distance between jugulum and symphysis pubica and the PTT [17]. Brachial-ankle PWV was calculated using the following equation: (La-Lb)/ΔTba (cm/s), while ΔTba was the PTT between brachium and ankle, Lb and La the path lengths from the suprasternal notch to the brachium (Lb) and to the ankle (La), calculated using following equations: Lb = 0.2195*height (cm)-2.0734, La = 0.8129*height (cm)+12.328 [18,19].

Statistical analysis

All analyses were done using IBM SPSS Statistics 20 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were visually tested for normality by Q-Q plots and represented by mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile range), while categorical variables as frequency (n) and percentage of the sample. Independent samples Student’s t test or Mann-Whitney U test were performed to analyze the difference between means for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for the difference between proportions for dichotomic ones. Spearman’s bivariate correlation was run between every vascular parameter and variables regarding pulmonary involvement (spirometrical data and microbiological colonization) and inflammation (C-reactive protein). For all statistical tests, probability values <0.05 were considered significant.
### Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients and controls did not differ in age, BMI and sex distribution. Fifteen patients out of 22 were males (68.2%) while 17 healthy volunteers out of 24 were (70.8%; p=1). No patients were suffering from CF had secondary diabetes mellitus, nor any other CV risk factor. No patients were on CFTR potentiators or correctors. C-reactive protein was increased in the patients’ group (median 95 ng/L, interquartile range 16-243 ng/L).

All people in the study population were normotensive. Systolic blood pressure was not statistically different between the two groups, while diastolic blood pressure was slightly higher among patients than controls, as well as heart rate. On the contrary, pulse pressure was similar between the groups. Spirometrical data of the cases are displayed in Table 2 as percentage of theoretical values. They are typical of pulmonary obstructive diseases. In particular, 14 patients (63.6%) showed a FEF25-75% value < 60% and 13 (59.1%) a FEV1 < 80%. Of them, 3 patients (13.6%) had a value < 40%, 4 (18.2%) between 40 and 60%, and 6 (27.3%) between 60 and 80%. Regarding chronic airways microbiological colonization, in 11 patients (50.0%) Pseudomonas aeruginosa was found, in 3 (13.6%) methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, and in other 5 (22.7%) both bacteria. Microvascular and macrovacular functions data are resumed in Table 3. Microvascular function expressed as RH-PAT index was significantly lower in patients than in controls. Indeed, 13 patients out of 22 had a value below the threshold of 1.67 (59.1%), while no controls had (p<0.001).

Macrovascular function measured as PWV did not differ between the two groups regarding carotid-femoral one, while brachial-ankle one was slightly higher for cases.

Patients with chronic airways microbiological colonization had similar RH-PAT index (1.78±0.62 vs 1.51±0.33, p=0.586), carotid-femoral PWV (5.2±1.5 vs 5.0±1.9, p=0.718) and brachial-ankle PWV (11.2±1.5 vs 9.7±5.2, p=0.464) than the others. Endothelial

### Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cystic fibrosis (n=22)</th>
<th>Healthy controls (n=24)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>24 (21-30)</td>
<td>32 (23-37)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>15 (68.2%)</td>
<td>17 (70.8%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>22.0 (19.3-23.9)</td>
<td>22.2 (21.0-24.2)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m2)</td>
<td>1.75 (1.56-1.89)</td>
<td>1.75 (1.68-1.88)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>120 (111-130)</td>
<td>120 (110-130)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>80 (70-80)</td>
<td>70 (60-75)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (mmHg)</td>
<td>50 (38-54)</td>
<td>50 (43-59)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>81 (70-90)</td>
<td>68 (64-78)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PP, pulse pressure; HR, heart rate.

### Table 2. Spirometrical data of the cystic fibrosis patients. Data expressed as % of theoretical values; mean±standard deviation (minimum-maximum).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cystic fibrosis (n=22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vital capacity (%)</td>
<td>82±22 (29-127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (%)</td>
<td>70±27 (21-123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25-75 (%)</td>
<td>44±27 (4-96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional residual capacity (%)</td>
<td>119±23 (88-169)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lung capacity (%)</td>
<td>103±13 (82-122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual volume (%)</td>
<td>161±50 (88-287)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO/VA adj (%)</td>
<td>109±17 (72-157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal inspiratory pressure (%)</td>
<td>98±29 (28-157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal expiratory pressure (%)</td>
<td>65±44 (24-243)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FEF25-75%, forced expiratory flow at 25-75% of the pulmonary volume; DLCO/VA adj, diffusion capacity of the lung for CO divided by the alveolar volume.

### Table 3. Results from EndoPAT and pulse wave analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cystic fibrosis (n=22)</th>
<th>Healthy controls (n=24)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RH-PAT index</td>
<td>1.59 (1.33-2.05)</td>
<td>2.33±0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial dysfunction</td>
<td>13 (59.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cf PWV (m/s)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.5-6.2)</td>
<td>5.4±1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba PWV (m/s)</td>
<td>11.1 (9.4-12.5)</td>
<td>10.1±0.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RH-PAT, reactive hyperemia-peripheral arterial tonometry index; cf, carotid-femoral; PWV, pulse wave velocity; ba, brachial-ankle.
dysfunction was similarly present in both groups (57.9% vs 66.7%, p=0.642). Interestingly, among all tested correlations, RH-PAT index and FEV1 showed a significant association with C-reactive protein (ρ=−0.482, p=0.023 and ρ=−0.452, p=0.035, respectively).

**Discussion**

For the first time to date, to our knowledge, peripheral endothelial function measured by EndoPAT-2000 device was evaluated in people affected by CF. This method was demonstrated to be operator-independent and highly useful in people without CV diseases. Endothelial dysfunction is considered the first vascular alteration occurring in the atherosclerotic phenomenon and several CV diseases. Its evaluation is a good way to analyze one’s CV health [12-16]. Our data suggest that adult patients suffering from CF and without CV risk factors have a higher frequency of endothelial dysfunction compared with healthy people. In particular, the decrease of endothelial function is associated with inflammation and FEV1. However, to our knowledge, only two studies investigated the presence of endothelial dysfunction in vivo in these patients, using strain-gauge venous-occlusion plethysmography [20] and brachial flow mediated dilation [21]. Therefore, our study confirms that of McGrath et al. [20] and that of Poore et al. [21], but using a different method. In fact, some Authors reported that flow mediated dilation and EndoPAT represent two different point of view on peripheral endothelial function: on elastic artery the first, on microcirculation the second [22]. Recently microvascular dysfunction in CF patients has been confirmed using laser-doppler imaging through post-occlusive reactive hyperemia, local thermal hyperthermia and iontophoresis with acetylcholine, compared with age matched control patients [23]. CFTR is present in smooth muscle cells [24,25] and in vascular endothelium [26]. Its alteration can reduce nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability (controlling the phosphorylation and activity of endothelial NO synthase) and decrease endothelial function. CF has shown to be poorly related to traditional CV risk factors, whilst strongly associated with other biomarkers of premature vascular ageing as activated inflammatory-immune processes and oxidative stress [27]. Moreover, CF is characterized by inflammatory state and oxidative stress [28,29], two conditions that reduce NO bioavailability [30]. Systemic inflammation could also influence endothelial function, which is related to arterial stiffness and a predictor of global CV risk [31]. Additionally, CFTR dysfunction may disrupt endothelial barrier function favoring leukocyte infiltration and inflammation. Especially under shear stress the impact of the CFTR dysfunction is evident on the stability and morphology of endothelial cells. Furthermore, the release of microvesicles from endothelial cells of CF patients does not seem to stimulate cell proliferation sufficiently [32]. Besides that, the CFTR block stimulates the production of IL-8 powerful chemotactic agent. Summarizing, on the one hand, a reduced endothelial integrity, on the other, a greater attraction and infiltration of leukocytes may play a role in determining endothelial dysfunction in these patients. What is the real cause of endothelial dysfunction in CF is still unknown and specific studies are needed, but we found a correlation between RH-PAT index and C-reactive protein.

Regarding macrovascular function, in our study carotid-femoral PWV did not differ between patients and controls [33], who demonstrated that systemic inflammation in these patients determines an increase in augmentation index (AIx) and so a predisposition to heart diseases in survived adults [33]. Vice versa, in their paper PWV was not different between patients and controls [33]. In addition, AIx was associated to C-reactive protein, underlying the role of systemic inflammation in vascular changes [33,34]. Buehler et al. demonstrated increased stiffness in large arteries in CF children, especially if colonized by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* or *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. According to the authors, the increase in arterial stiffness seems to be related to systemic inflammation [35]. In fact, there is a curve-linear relationship between age and the aortic PWV; thus, age-related changes in aortic PWV are less marked in younger subjects and increase after 50 years of age [36]. Unfortunately, our study lacks the evaluation of AIx, which greater changes in younger individuals and was shown different between patients and controls by Hull et al. [33]. We hypothesize that a sort of vascular remodeling is present in these patients but both Hull and colleagues’ and our study are underpowered to catch it.

Strength of our study is the careful selection of patients without CV risk factorsnor overt pulmonary hypertension. In this way we have been able to identify vascular alterations linked to CF itself. Nevertheless, our cohort was characterized by a great damage in inspiratory flows at low level of pulmonary filling, as expressed by FEF25-75%, meaning peripheral airways largely involve in lung remodeling. Minimum value is 4% of theoretical one and indicates a severe lung damage. Moreover, FEV1 is the “gold standard” parameter in the follow-up and in the prognosis estimation of CF patients. It represents the palency to airflow of the larger airways. Again, minimum value is 21% and suggests a severe impairment. In the present study we compared two groups of adults without known CV risk factors; the only difference between them was the presence of CF.

Our study suffers from some limitations. First, the small number of patients in the cohort, which prevent us from finding important correlations regarding, for example, microbiological colonization and vascular parameters. Second, we lack a direct correlation between vascular parameters and disease outcome. Insofar, our study shows the presence of endothelial dysfunction in adult patients suffering from CF without CV risk factors. On the other hand, it is still unclear whether arterial stiffness is largely present in such disease. Moreover, we do not know what prognostic role of future developing of atherosclerotic disease these findings could be, but it seems evident that CF directly affects CV system itself. Future studies are needed to explore CV outcomes of these patients in order to identify those who may benefit from cardiology primary prevention therapies.
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