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Abstract
COVID-19 is a pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 virus which is a very worrisome public health emergency. In this study, we compared the mortality rate and recovery rate in countries with and without BCG vaccination policy. The data of mortality of COVID-19 was extracted from worldometer (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/) on 26th July 2020. The data of countries where BCG vaccination is being done for all individuals is taken from BCG world atlas (http://www.bcgatlas.org/index.php), updated in 2017. BCG vaccination policy recommended countries are intervention group versus countries without BCG vaccination policies which are regarded as control group. Pooled analysis of countries with and without BCG vaccination policy revealed mortality rate of 1.31% (95%CI – 1.31% to 1.32%; $I^2 = 100\%$, $p<0.01$) and 3.25% (95%CI – 3.23% to 3.26%; $I^2 = 100\%$, $p<0.01$), respectively. The recovery rate in two country groups were found to be 72.60% (95%CI – 72.57% to 72.63%) and 55.94% (95%CI – 55.90% to 55.98%), respectively. 52 individuals need to be BCG vaccinated to prevent one death (NNT = 52). In BCG vaccination program countries, there is statistically and clinically significant less mortality (p-value <0.001) as compared to countries without BCG policy. Our findings corroborate the hypothesis that BCG vaccination may provide protection from COVID-19. High quality evidence from randomised controlled trials are required to establish causality between BCG vaccination and protection from severe COVID-19.
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Take Home Message
Our study shows that BCG vaccination may be protective against COVID 19, as observed by decrease mortality rates of countries with BCG vaccination policies versus countries without BGC policy.

Introduction
SARS-CoV-2 is a zoonotically transmitted disease, with first case reported from Wuhan city of China, and has spread globally to create a pandemic.[1] The incidence and mortality in COVID-19 need to be curtailed by decreasing the spread of infection and effective treatment. To curtail the spread of COVID-19 cases, the brainstorming for effective vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 is being carried out.[2] However, there are many hurdles like the inadequate
response to component vaccines and chance of spread of infection with RNA and DNA vaccines.

Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccination offers long term protection against tuberculosis as well as other bacterial and viral infections.[3,4] There is preliminary evidence of decrease in the number of cases and mortality of COVID-19 in countries with BCG vaccination. BCG vaccination is found to provide non-specific immunoprotection in viral infections.[5,6] The most probable mechanism suggested as an elucidation for protective effects of BCG is that it induces heterologous lymphocyte responses, leading to boosted immunological reactions to secondary bacterial and viral agents.[7] Heterologous lymphocyte responses modulates T helper 1 (TH1) and T helper 17 (TH17) responses through activation of CD4+ and CD8+ memory cells, thereby leading to enhanced secretion of various cytokines like IFN-γ to non-mycobacterial infectious agents.[8] BCG-induced enhanced cytokine production in monocytes plays a role in improving clinical conditions during a secondary viral infection.[9]

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends mandatory BCG vaccination of all children and neonates of countries with high prevalence of tuberculosis.[10] Though countries have implemented different policies with regard to BCG vaccination depending on their incidence and prevalence of tuberculosis.

Based on the data of BCG vaccine's immunological effects and by analysing the existing epidemiological evidence, our study aims to ascertain any association between the universal BCG vaccination and COVID-19 mortality.

**Methods**

In this study, we compared the mortality rate and recovery rate in countries with or without BCG vaccination policy. The data of mortality of COVID-19 was obtained from worldometer (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/) on 26th July 2020. The data of countries where BCG vaccination is being done for all individuals is taken from BCG world atlas (http://www.bcgatlas.org/index.php) updated in 2017.[11] The countries with no information about BCG vaccination policy or past BCG vaccination policies were included in no BCG vaccination policy countries.

Dichotomous data i.e. percentage of mortality in countries with or without BCG vaccination policy were analysed and reported along with 95% confidence interval (CI). The meta-analysis was performed as per PRISMA reporting guidelines.[12] As data from studies were not involved, no risk of bias assessment was performed.[13] In addition, no “Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE)” analysis was
done.[14] GRADE recommended that analysis should not be performed for single group meta-analysis.

The meta-analysis of mortality and recovery rate was done using R software.[15] R software packages used were meta and metafor. Analysis was done using both random effect as well as fixed effect model. Fixed effect model is based on zero study variance assumption and difference observed in effect size among studies is due to within study variance. Random effect model takes into account the variance observed within and between the studies, hence random effect model results are more generalizable. In fixed effect model, more weight is assigned to studies with less variance and higher number of events. Therefore, the discussion is based on fixed effect model results [16]. However, conclusion should be drawn by interpreting the results of both fixed and random effect model. We performed the analysis using Freeman Tukey double arcsine transformation (DAT), which helped data to conform to normality, thereby increasing generalisability of results.

Results

Mortality rates

Countries with BCG vaccination policy

Pooled analysis of BCG vaccination policy countries revealed mortality rate of 1.31% (95%CI – 1.31% to 1.32%; $I^2 = 100\%$, $p<0.01$), using fixed effect model. The random effect model revealed mortality rate of 0.84% (95% CI – 0.56% to 1.16%) (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1). In countries with less than fifty thousand cases of COVID-19, pooled mortality rate was 0.47% (95% CI – 0.45% to 0.49%, $I^2 = 99\%$, $p<0.01$) and 0.45% (95% CI – 0.20% to 0.77%), using fixed and random effect models. In countries with more than fifty thousand cases of COVID-19, pooled mortality rate was 2.95% (95% CI – 2.94% to 2.96%, $I^2 = 100\%$, $p<0.01$) and 2.73% (95% CI – 2.04% to 3.53%), using fixed and random effect models.

Countries without BCG vaccination policy

Pooled analysis of no BCG vaccination policy countries revealed mortality rate of 3.25% (95%CI – 3.23% to 3.26%; $I^2 = 100\%$, $p<0.01$), using fixed effect model. The random effect model revealed mortality rate of 2.33% (95% CI – 1.60% to 3.16%) (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 2). In countries with less than fifty thousand cases of COVID-19, pooled mortality rate were 0.62% (95% CI – 0.57% to 0.67%, $I^2 = 99\%$, $p<0.01$) and 1.18% (95% CI – 0.56% to 1.95%), using fixed and random effect models. In countries with more than fifty thousand cases
of COVID-19, pooled mortality rate were 4.43% (95% CI – 4.41% to 4.44%, I² = 100%, p<0.01) and 8.74% (95% CI – 6.26% to 11.59%), using fixed and random effect models.

Recovery rates

**Countries with BCG vaccination policy**

Pooled analysis of BCG vaccination policy countries revealed recovery rate of 72.60% (95%CI – 72.57% to 72.63%; I² = 100%, p<0.01), using fixed effect model. The random effect model revealed recovery rate of 71.25% (95% CI – 67.50% to 74.87%) (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 3). In countries with less than fifty thousand cases of COVID-19, pooled recovery rate were 68.85% (95% CI – 68.76% to 68.95%, I² = 100%, p<0.01) and 70.6% (95% CI – 66.24% to 74.80%), using fixed and random effect models. In countries with more than fifty thousand cases of COVID-19, pooled recovery rate were 72.08% (95% CI – 72.05% to 72.10%, I² = 100%, p<0.01) and 73.16% (95% CI – 65.72% to 80.0%), using fixed and random effect models.

**Countries without BCG vaccination policy**

Pooled analysis of no BCG vaccination policy countries revealed recovery rate of 55.94% (95%CI – 55.90% to 55.98%; I² = 100%, p<0.01), using fixed effect model. The random effect model revealed recovery rate of 79.90% (95% CI – 73.02% to 86.04%) (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 4). In countries with less than fifty thousand cases of COVID-19, pooled recovery rate were 84.44% (95% CI – 84.26% to 84.62%, I² = 100%, p<0.01) and 80.87% (95% CI – 73.58% to 87.30%), using fixed and random effect models. In countries with more than fifty thousand cases of COVID-19, pooled recovery rate were 54.84% (95% CI – 54.79% to 54.88%, I² = 100%, p<0.01) and 72.71% (95% CI – 50.69% to 90.05%), using fixed and random effect models.

The mean mortality rates of countries with BCG programs (Mean ± SD = 3.3 ± 3.11) having more than fifty thousand COVID-19 cases was statistically significantly less (p-value <0.001) as compared to countries without BCG policy (Mean ± SD = 9.2 ± 4.47). Number needed for prevention of a case of death was calculated based on mortality rate difference between the two groups of countries. Absolute risk reduction (ARR) for prevention of death with BCG vaccination was calculated as 0.0325-0.131 = 0.0194. NNT (Number needed to treat) = 1/ARR = 1/0.0194 = 51.54. Therefore, to prevent one death 52 individuals need to be BCG vaccinated. The world map with different mortality rates represented in different colour shades is represented in Figure 1.
Discussion

In our study, the difference between the mortality rate of COVID 19 between the countries with BCG vaccination policy and those without was statistically significant. Overall, mortality rate in countries with BCG vaccination policy is around one percent and in those without is three percent.

Study done by Miller et al. and Kirov et al. excluded the countries with population less than one million because of possible confounding. Taking into account the possible confounding, we did a separate analysis of countries with less than and more than fifty thousand COVID-19 cases. In BCG vaccination policy countries with more than 50,000 COVID-19 cases, there is clinically high mortality rate of 2.95% as compared to 0.47% in countries with less than fifty thousand cases. Similarly, countries without BCG programs and with more than fifty thousand cases have reported mortality of 4.43% as compared to 0.62% mortality in countries with less than fifty thousand cases.

The increased mortality rate in countries with more than fifty thousand cases in both BCG and non BCG vaccination policy groups might be because of increased community spread. It was observed that countries in this sub-group have mortality rates ranging from 3.25% to 15%. At the time of community spread, the likelihood of increased viral load during spread of infection might explain the high mortality rate in countries with more number of cases. During community spread, more individuals with comorbidities are at high risk of COVID-19 infection. The patients with more comorbid conditions were having high fatality rate due to increase cardiovascular (CVS) events. This is due to high IL-6, LDH and ferritin causing myocardial injury or may be due to direct effect of virus on cardiac functioning.[17]

The difference of the recovery rate between the countries with and without BCG vaccination programs was also clinically significant. Overall, recovery rate in countries with BCG vaccination programs and no BCG vaccination programs were 72% and 56%. In sub-group analysis of countries with more than 50,000 cases, the recovery rate of COVID 19 cases with no BCG vaccination implemented programs countries was far behind as compared to BCG vaccinated program implemented countries. Some of the difference could be explained by the fact that the recovery rate of some of the high burden non-BCG countries was missing.

Miller et al. [18] recently studied the correlation amid universal BCG vaccination policy and reduced COVID-19 morbidity and mortality. They included only countries (high and middle high income) with more than 1 million inhabitants. They observed that higher income countries with a current universal BCG policy (55 countries) had less number of fatality per million
people. Significant higher mortality rate was observed in countries without BCG vaccination policy (5 countries) versus countries with established universal BCG policy. There are few more epidemiological studies which had analysed the correlation between BCG vaccination and COVID-19 infections.[19-29] Escobar et al. [30] found a strong negative correlation between degree of BCG vaccination and COVID 19 mortality in different socially comparable European countries. Escobar et al. concluded that in socially similar European countries every ten percent increase in BCG index resulted in 10.4 percent decreased mortality among COVID-19 patients. In addition, comparison of port of entries in US (New York, Illinois, Florida, Alabama and Louisiana - No BCG vaccination policy) versus Mexico and Brazil (BCG vaccination programs), COVID-19 mortality was significantly higher in US, despite the fact that Latin American countries have higher population density. Five studies analysed the association between BCG vaccination and COVID-19 mortality after adjusting for other confounding factors.[20, 21, 23, 24, 29] Sala et al. performed analysis controlling for potential confounding variables and found that BCG vaccination policy is linked to decrease in both COVID 19 cases and deaths.[12] The study done by Shet et al. showed significant negative correlation between BCG vaccination and COVID-19 incidence and mortality after adjustment for age greater than 65 years, income status and stage of pandemic.[21] The study done by Berg et al. showed significant negative correlation after controlling for age, size and population density, net migration rate, and per capita income.[29] Hensel et al. observed that countries with high testing rates (>2,500 test/million) did not show any correlation between BCG program and COVID-19 incidence and mortality. Testing for COVID-19 (tests per 1 million inhabitants) was one of the important confounder in their study.[23] Kirov et al. and Szigeti et al. was unable to find any correlation between BCG and COVID.[24, 26] Hamiel et al.[27] was unable to find any differences in COVID-19 cases with regard to two groups. However, the study was designed to report number of COVID-19 cases in a country with BCG vaccination versus no vaccination in a specific group. All individuals with BCG vaccination were not compared to no BCG vaccination people, as other studies. Klinger et al.[28] did a multivariate analysis and found a strong negative correlation between mortality and BCG vaccination, similar to our study. A sub-group analysis revealed higher protection form COVID-19 to most recently vaccinated individuals. Wiwanitkit suggested that since individuals vaccinated with BCG are becoming infected with COVID-19, therefore no protective role of BCG vaccination can be concluded. Wiwanitkit did not perform any analysis with regard to mortality and infectivity rate in comparison with control group.[31] Therefore such inferences are wrong and misleading. Joy et al. [32] concluded that there is protective
efficacy of BCG vaccination in COVID-19 infection. Analysis done by Joy et al. concluded that countries with greater than 70% BCG coverage reported around ten (95% CI = -11.4 to -8.7) less infection per 10,000 population versus countries with no BCG vaccination.

The proposed mechanism for protection against COVID-19 infection may involve induction of heterologous lymphocyte activation to secondary unrelated infectious agents. In addition, BCG vaccination can induce activation of CD4+ and CD8+ cells, modulating T helper 1 and T helper 17 cell line responses.[7] TH17 produce GM-CSF associated with induction of TH1 cells. In addition, BCG vaccination stimulates CD4+ cells to increase secretion of interferons-γ (IFN-γ), thereby decreasing chances of viral infections.[33] Although the higher TH17 responses are also implicated in cytokine storm. But for prevention of viral infection, normal or higher immune response like increased TH-17 and IFN-γ are essential, as observed in many human and animal studies.[5,34]

**Strengths and Limitations**

Different countries have different onset of the disease and we are still in the middle of the pandemic. Important confounding factors, such as rates of testing, social and economic differences, population and age structure of countries may cause bias in interpretation of results. Our study shows that BCG vaccination provides protection against severe COVID 19 and mortality associated with it. However, in the absence of well conducted randomised controlled trials, the same cannot be concluded.

**Conclusions**

- Our study showed that BCG vaccination confers protection against severe COVID-19 and mortality associated with it.
- There is low quality evidence that BCG vaccination provides protection against COVID-19.
- Since we are still in the middle of the COVID-19 pandemic, it may be erroneous to come to conclusions.
- High quality evidence should be generated from randomized controlled trials before considering a global change in BCG vaccination policy and confirming to conclusion that BCG confers protection against COVID 19 mortality.
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Table 1. Pooled mortality rates (case fatality ratio) and pooled recovery rates of countries with BCG vaccination policies versus no BCG vaccination policy recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups and Outcomes</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Pooled Rates</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$I^2$</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. BCG Vaccinated Policy Countries</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 50,000 Cases</td>
<td>FEM = 0.47% REM = 0.45%</td>
<td>0.45% to 0.49% 0.20% to 0.77%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 50,000 cases</td>
<td>FEM = 2.95% REM = 2.73%</td>
<td>2.94% to 2.96% 2.04% to 3.53%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All countries</td>
<td>FEM = 1.31% REM = 0.84%</td>
<td>1.31% to 1.32% 0.56% to 1.16%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Recovery Rates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 50,000 Cases</td>
<td>FEM = 68.85% REM = 70.6%</td>
<td>68.76% to 68.95% 66.24% to 74.80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 50,000 cases</td>
<td>FEM = 72.08% REM = 73.16%</td>
<td>72.05% to 72.10% 65.72% to 80.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All countries</td>
<td>FEM = 72.60% REM = 71.25%</td>
<td>72.57% to 72.63% 67.50% to 74.87%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Non BCG vaccination policy countries</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 50,000 Cases</td>
<td>FEM = 0.62% REM = 1.18%</td>
<td>0.57% to 0.67% 0.56% to 1.95%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 50,000 cases</td>
<td>FEM = 4.43% REM = 8.74%</td>
<td>4.41% to 4.44% 6.26% to 11.59%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All countries</td>
<td>FEM = 3.25% REM = 2.33%</td>
<td>3.23% to 3.26% 1.60% to 3.16%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Recovery Rates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 50,000 Cases</td>
<td>FEM = 84.44% REM = 80.87%</td>
<td>84.26% to 84.62% 73.58% to 87.30%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 50,000 cases</td>
<td>FEM = 54.84% REM = 72.71%</td>
<td>54.79% to 54.88% 50.69% to 90.05%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All countries</td>
<td>FEM = 55.94% REM = 79.90%</td>
<td>55.90% to 55.98% 73.02% to 86.04%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEM – Fixed effect model, REM – Random effect model
Figure 1. World map showing mortality rates in different countries worldwide.