

Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease

eISSN 2532-5264 https://www.monaldi-archives.org/

Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The *Early Access* service lets users access peer-reviewed articles well before print / regular issue publication, significantly reducing the time it takes for critical findings to reach the research community.

These articles are searchable and citable by their DOI (Digital Object Identifier).

The **Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease** is, therefore, e-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

All legal disclaimers applicable to the journal apply to this production process as well.

Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2024 [Online ahead of print]

To cite this Article:

Del Vecchio K, Rizzardi C, Pozza A, et al. **How effective is disopyramide in treating pediatric hypertrophic cardiomyopathy? State of the art and future directions.** *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* doi: 10.4081/monaldi.2024.3084

> \bullet CThe Author(s), 2024 *Licensee* PAGEPress, Italy

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

How effective is disopyramide in treating pediatric hypertrophic cardiomyopathy? State of the art and future directions

Karin Del Vecchio,¹ Caterina Rizzardi,¹ Alice Pozza,² Francesco Prati,¹ Luisa Ye,¹ Alessia Fattoretto,¹ Elena Reffo,² Giovanni Di Salvo²

1Pediatric Unit, Department for Women's and Children's Health, University Hospital of Padova; ²Pediatric Cardiology Unit, Department of Women's and Children's Health, University of Padova, Italy

Correspondence: Alice Pozza, Pediatric Cardiology Unit, Department of Women's and Children's Health, University of Padova, Via Giustiniani 2, 35125 Padova, Italy. E-mail: alice.pozza@aopd.veneto.it

Contributions: ER, conceptualization; KDV, CR, FP, LY, AF, AP, writing - original draft preparation; AP, review and editing, supervision; ER, AP, image selection and image rendering; AP, GDS, project administration. All authors conducted research literature to find out the available studies about this topic. They reviewed the articles and finally provided a scheme with recent evidence about the use of disopyramide in the pediatric population. All authors have read and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare they have no competing interests, and all authors confirm accuracy.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: not applicable.

Informed consent/Patient consent for publication:

Funding: this research received no external fundings.

Availability of data and materials: data are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Acknowledgments: this work is being supported by the Research4Residents project within the Residency Program in Paediatrics at the University of Padova, Italy.

Abstract

Pediatric hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) has a wide range of clinical manifestations. Left ventricular outflow tract obstruction (LVOTO) at rest is present in up to one-third of children with HCM, with a further 50-60% of symptomatic children developing a gradient under exertion. Treatment options are limited, and there is a relative lack of data on the pediatric population. Disopyramide is a sodium channel blocker with negative inotropic properties. This therapy effectively reduces LVOTO in adults with HCM and delays surgical interventions, but it is not licensed for use in children.

We aimed to review and analyze the influence of disopyramide over the pathophysiological, clinical, electrocardiographic, and echocardiographic characteristics of patients with HCM in infancy, childhood, adolescence, and adult age. While disopyramide remains a cornerstone in the management of pediatric HCM, the advent of mavacamten and aficamten heralds a new era of potential advancements. These emerging therapies could significantly improve the quality of life and prognosis for young patients with HCM.

Key words: pediatric hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, disopyramide, left ventricular outflow tract, Mavacamten.

Introduction

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is the second most common cardiomyopathy in children and the most common cause of sudden cardiac death in children and young adults [1,2]. It is defined as a myocardial disorder, characterized by left ventricular wall hypertrophy (LVH) in the absence of secondary cardiac or systemic conditions capable of determining such thickness [3]. The estimated prevalence is reported to be 0.02% in paediatric population [4].

Primary HCM is an autosomal dominant disease caused by mutation in genes encoding components of the cardiac sarcomere: β-myosin heavy chain (MYH7) and myosin-binding protein C (MYBPC3). However, clinical variability is extremely high even within the same family, suggesting that external factors also contribute to the phenotypic expression of the disease [3]. Patients affected by inherited metabolic storage diseases (e.g. Anderson-Fabry, Danon and Pompe disease, disorders of fatty acid metabolism and lysosomal storage disorders), mitochondrial diseases, syndromic diseases (e.g. Noonan disease) and neuromuscular diseases (e.g. Frederich ataxia) may present a secondary HCM, in absence of mutations in sarcomere proteins. The clinical manifestations and the pattern of left ventricular hypertrophy mimic that of primary HCM, nevertheless etiopathogenesis, prognosis and treatment are different [5,6]. In over 50% of infants the cause of HCM remains idiopathic [1].

Diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: from morphological highlights to clinical presentation

The clinical presentation of HCM is highly heterogeneous, linked to the etiology of HCM and mainly determined by the pattern and extent of LVH, which can lead to the narrowing of the LV cavity with resulting diastolic dysfunction and to the myocardial ischemia due to an increased myocardial oxygen demand. No single pattern of LVH is typical but generally the hypertrophy is asymmetric and affects the basal and mid-ventricular septum; up to 50% infants shows a concentric pattern and less frequent is the involvement of only the apical segment [7]. Depending on the hemodynamic subsets, HCM can be classified into obstructive HCM (oHCM) and non-obstructive HCM. Indeed, in patients affected by HCM the development of a dynamic pressure gradient across the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) is commonly reported, resulting from dynamic subaortic obstruction produced by mitral valve anterior systolic displacement (SAM) consisting in the movement of the anterior mitral valve leaflet toward the ventricular septum and septal hypertrophy [7]. oHCM is defined by the presence of dynamic LVOT obstruction with an LVOT peak pressure gradient > 30 mmHg, which is variable on a day-to-day and is influenced by factors acting on ventricular contractility and loading [5]. Up to one third of children with HCM have resting LVOT obstruction, while 50– 60% of symptomatic children develop a gradient on physical effort [8].

Common reported symptoms are dyspnea, chest pain, arrhythmia, fatigue, palpitation and dizziness, that may be present at rest or on exertion, even though most patients with HCM are mildly symptomatic or asymptomatic [9]. In paediatric patients with HCM, congestive heart failure associated with dyspnea, poor feeding and stunting is often the first manifestation. Syncope may be a precursor to future sudden cardiac death, which however frequently occurs without warning signs and symptoms [5].

Role of cardiac imaging

Screening with ECG all first-degree relatives of patients with HCM can lead to early identification of those affected, as ECG abnormalities can precede the overt development of the pathology by many years. The resting 12-lead ECG is abnormal in 75% to 95% of patients with HCM and the commonest abnormalities are ventricular hypertrophy, repolarization abnormalities with ST depression and negative T waves in the left leads (D1, aVL and/or V4- V6), giant negative T waves in apical HCM, left axis deviation, pathologic Q waves/pseudoinfarction pattern and left atrial enlargement, as a result of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction [7]. Even a short PR interval without preexcitation may be present, while AV conduction delay is a frequent finding in HCM caused by mitochondrial diseases [3]. Typical arrhythmias are malignant ventricular arrhythmias (ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation), secondary to the unstable electrophysiological substrate with areas of myocyte disarray and fibrosis resulting from cellular necrosis caused by microvascular ischemia and atrial fibrillation, related to left atrial enlargement [7].

Echocardiography is the gold standard to confirm the diagnosis, which is based on the presence of a maximal LV wall thickness of two standard deviations above the mean for age and body surface area; any pattern of hypertrophy (concentric, eccentric, interventricular, distal and apical) is sufficient to make the diagnosis [10] (Figure 1). Hypertrophy generally develops during puberty in primary HCM and in infancy in secondary HCM; in this last class of patients the thickness of LV is often concentric and associated with RV hypertrophy. Other typical echocardiographic findings are LV cavitary obstruction during systole, impairment of the diastolic filling in LV associated with an abnormal transmitral inflow pattern (decreased E/A ratio) and left atrial enlargement. In case of significant LVOT obstruction (LVOT peak pressure gradient > 30 mmHg) at rest or after provocation, generally occurring in mid to late systole, it is possible to identify a high velocity stream in the subaortic region and the SAM of the mitral valve. SAM often determines posteriorly directed mitral regurgitation, while regurgitation in other directions is index of intrinsic valve abnormalities. Both LVOT obstruction and SAM are dynamic conditions (Figure 2). LV systolic function is generally increased; depressed LV ejection fraction is a poor prognosis factor and represents the end-stage of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [3]. By using advanced echocardiographic techniques, such as speckle tracking, 3D echocardiography and stress echocardiography it is possible to improve diagnostic effectiveness and management. By analysing the radial, longitudinal and circumferential myocardial deformation it is possible to early detect myocardial disfunction in patients with preserved ventricular ejection fraction [11]. Indeed, it is known that up to 50% of patients with asymmetric septal HCM shows a reduced systolic shortening and a paradoxical lengthening of the segments involved by hypertrophy: the reduction of myocardial strain is directly proportional to the extent of septal hypertrophy. Moreover, this technique allows for better identification of the pattern of ventricular thickness (Figure 3). Studies also showed a correlation between reduction of longitudinal strain and onset of ventricular tachycardia or exercise intolerance [12]. 3D echocardiography allows better assessment of biventricular dimension, LVH and ejection fraction and a higher definition of mitral valve and LVOT anatomy, thus optimizing time for cardiac catheterizations or surgical interventions [13]. Stress echocardiography can be performed using a cycle ergometer or treadmill or with dobutamine administration. According to the 2023 ESC guidelines, stress echocardiography is used as a diagnostic tool in symptomatic patients with a resting LV outflow gradient of < 50 mmHg to assess its increase during exercise [4]. In our experience, stress echocardiography is useful in patients (sub-symptomatic or asymptomatic), who during echocardiography present criteria of HCM without significant LVOT obstruction. In these cases, stress echocardiography shows inducible LVOT obstruction, allowing early identification of patients eligible for therapy. In addition, it provides insight into functional capacity and exercise tolerance, useful in correlating symptoms like chest pain or shortness of breath with the presence of obstruction or ischemia [14]. Its use in pediatric population has been so far not well established as compared to adults. However, it is useful to identify patients with inducible LVOT obstruction >30 mmHg not detectable by rest echocardiography [15]. Moreover, it allows differentiation of pediatric patients with HCM from athletes, in whom LVOT gradient during exercise is normally reported [16]. In adults it is known that those with LVOT during exertion have a higher risk of cardiovascular events, while in the pediatric population long term prospective studies determining the outcomes of patients with exercise induced LVOT obstruction are not yet available [15].

Treatment of obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

Standard management

The goal of drug therapy is to relief symptoms, improve quality of life and reduce mortality from sudden cardiac death (SCD) events related to oHCM [17]. Nowadays there is no clear evidence in literature to suggest that medical therapy can change the natural history of disease in addition to improving symptoms. Medical therapy is structured at different levels according to tolerance and patient's individual response [17].

Beta blockers (BB) and non- dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers (NDCCB) are both considered a first line treatment. Beta blockers reliably decrease LVOT gradient due to their intrinsic negative inotropic function, thus improving diastolic function of the left ventricle and ventricular filling [18]. Medications need to be titrate to a dose since a symptom relief is observed; the failure of beta blocker therapy should be declared when no improvement in symptoms is observed while observing heart's effect of BB action of the drugs (i.e. lowering resting heart rate) [19].

Non- dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers (NDCCB) class medication has been demonstrated to have an active role in symptoms relief in oHCM. According to Taha et al. specifically, in a direct comparison between metoprolol and verapamil, the calcium channel blocker demonstrated not only the effectiveness to treat symptoms but also the ability to improve patient's New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class [20]. A limitation in the use of this class of drugs may lie in vasodilating properties that could potentially increase the LVOT gradient [17].

Invasive treatment

Invasive non- pharmacological treatment of the oHCM is based on the reduction of the anatomical thickness of the interventricular septum where the gradient in located. Septal reduction therapy (SRT) is contemplated in patients who persist symptomatic despite an optimal medical therapy or in which it is not possible to optimize it due to the occurrence of not tolerated side effects [21]. SRT can be performed by surgical or percutaneous technique. The surgical technique is feasible in a broad spectrum of patients and it consists of extensive septal myotomy (ESM) through trans-aortic approach, with a mortality rate <1% and a success rate of 90-95% [22]. ESM if properly performed can reduce up to eliminate the SAM of the mitral valve that produce an abnormal coaptation of the valve leaflets and a various degree of mitral regurgitation [23,24]. The correction of functional mitral valve dysfunction improves left atrium reverse remodelling, reduces burden of pulmonary hypertension, improves exercise capacity, reduces risk of gastrointestinal bleeding and onset of arrhythmic burden [25-28]. Trans-aortic approach may not be feasible in the entire paediatric population because of small size of the aortic anulus. In this population the Konno procedure or the trans-apical approach has proved to be effective [29]. The percutaneous trans-catheter therapy consist of alcohol septal ablation (ASA), this is a well-established procedure for SRT in adult population, but no consistent data are available in paediatric population [17].

Disopyramide in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

Disopyramide is a class I antiarrhythmic drug with a negative inotropic effect, known since 1980 and primarily used in atrial fibrillation. Disopyramide was introduced in the 2014 ESC guidelines as a class I recommendation and in the 2011 AHA guidelines as a class IIa recommendation for the treatment of oHCM, in addition to beta-blockers. This recommendation is not only to reduce the risk of arrhythmias but also to reduce the LVOT gradient [30]. Due to its negative inotropic effect, disopyramide reduces the acceleration of flow during the initial phase of systole, thereby reducing SAM and ultimately lowering the LVOT gradient. The modest reduction in ejection fraction caused by the negative inotropic effect of this drug reduces the hyperkinetic contraction of these patients, leading to better ventricular emptying capacity by reducing outflow obstruction [31]. Reduction in gradient leads to clinical improvement and symptom reduction [32]. The mechanism of action of disopyramide was studied by Coppini et al., who suggested that the negative inotropic effect results from a reduction in intracellular calcium during systole, mediated by the inhibition of various ion channels. This also leads to a shortening of the action potential with a consequent protective effect against arrhythmias [31].

The efficacy of disopyramide in managing LVOT obstruction by reducing the gradient, even by 50-60%, with concurrent symptom improvement, has been demonstrated in multicenter studies by Sherrid et al. and in a study by Coppini et al. [31-33]. These studies also highlighted disopyramide's good safety profile; in the cohort of treated patients, there were no significant differences in survival, which was even better due to gradient reduction [33]. In the cohort of Maurizi et al., the efficacy of disopyramide was demonstrated in more than a quarter of treated patients [34]. Similar results were obtained in studies by Tropiceanu and O'Connor in paediatric patients, which showed the efficacy of this molecule in reducing symptoms in paediatric HCM patients [8,35].

The group of patients benefiting the most from this treatment includes those in NYHA I-II class, younger ages, and lower LVOT gradients [34]. Similar results were obtained by Sherrid, who found that patients not responding to disopyramide had very high resting gradients (>89 mmHg) [36]. Since disopyramide's effect is achieved through its negative inotropic mechanism, which lowers diastolic relaxation pressures, the greatest effect is observed in patients with greater contractility. Consequently, some authors have questioned the efficacy of disopyramide in non-obstructive HCM patients [34].

Indication about the use of disopyramide

Disopyramide has been studied with good results in symptomatic HCM patients in addition to beta-blocker therapy to delay or avoid surgery [36]. Sherrid et al. used disopyramide in a group of oHCM patients with an LVOT gradient of at least 30 mmHg at rest, who were symptomatic and unresponsive to first-line treatment with beta-blockers or verapamil [33]. In paediatric patients, the efficacy of disopyramide has been demonstrated in HCM patients under 21 years old with symptoms not controlled by first-line therapy [8,35]. These indications are supported by the 2020 AHA guidelines, which recommend disopyramide as a class Ib treatment for patients with symptoms consistent with LVOT obstruction unresponsive to first-line therapy with beta-blockers or non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers [37].

Various protocols have been tested in literature regarding disopyramide dosing. In paediatric patients, due to faster metabolism, the dose used ranges from 2 mg/kg three times a day, with the possibility of increasing the dose while monitoring the QTc up to 20 mg/kg/day. Because of this wide dosage range and rapid metabolism, plasma levels of disopyramide are very useful in dose titration in paediatric patients. The therapeutic range is about 6-16 mg/kg in three doses [30,35]. In the adult population, the maximum dose is 300 mg twice daily without the need to monitor blood levels of the drug, except for patients with impaired renal clearance [36]. Dose increases can be made every 4 days with QTc monitoring, avoiding increases if the QTc prolongs by more than 25% of the baseline value, though it is safer not to exceed an increase of more than 10-15% from the baseline [30]. The study by Maurizi et al. also showed that disopyramide's efficacy is the same regardless of the dose used, but adverse effects are dosedependent. Coppini et al. supported this finding, highlighting disopyramide's superiority over other negative inotropic drugs, but arguing that its efficacy is not dose-dependent, contrary to Sherrid's study which shows that the gradient effect is dose-dependent [31,36].

Effects of disopyramide on clinical symptoms, echocardiographic and electrocardiographic findings

Symptoms improvement represents the primary endpoint of most studies, showing the most promising results. Various studies have demonstrated that disopyramide can reduce symptoms at 6 months, particularly dyspnea and chest pain [8]. Regarding the outflow gradient, not all studies in the paediatric population have shown an echocardiographic reduction in the outflow gradient, unlike in adults. It should be noted that the gradient is dynamic and depends on heart rate, making it not always a reliable and reproducible measure. Despite this, many studies have shown a reduction in mitral SAM in a portion of subjects, indicating that this mechanism may also be implicated in the appearance and exacerbation of reported symptoms [8]. Despite that O'Connor et al. demonstrated a reduction in the outflow tract gradient in 7 of the 8 patients studied, with a median reduction of about 58%, comparable to studies in the adult population [35]. Multicenter studies in the adult population by Sherrid et al. demonstrated a gradient reduction in two-thirds of patients, with better results in those with higher gradients [33].

Yedidya et al. also showed that measuring global and longitudinal strain after disopyramide administration in obstructive HCM patients resulted in a strain reduction in all segments, greater in those with higher baseline values, correlating with the beneficial effect on LVOT obstruction in these patients, further proof that the results obtained from this molecule are due to its negative inotropic effect [38].

QT prolongation has been described on ECG after disopyramide use, partially due to QRS prolongation caused by the inhibition of the peak sodium current, slowing AV conduction [31]. The risk associated with QT prolongation is the induction of ventricular arrhythmias. However, Coppini's study debunked this concern, demonstrating that QT prolongation is proportional to the baseline QT and the reduction in differences in QT duration between different myocardial regions results in a reduced arrhythmic effect [31]. In fact, multicenter studies have shown that ventricular arrhythmias occurred in less than 1% of treated patients [36]. In the paediatric population, no significant prolongations of PR and QT were reported, and any prolongation occurred within the first 6 months of treatment [8]. QT prolongation, present in 68% of cases in Maurizi et al.'s study, led to therapy discontinuation in a very small group of patients [34]. QT prolongation usually happens within the first 6 months of starting the drug. Therefore, initiating disopyramide with telemetric monitoring is recommended to keep the arrhythmic risk under control. QT prolongation can exceed 500 ms, as demonstrated by O'Connor et al. [35]. In Sherrid et al.'s group, no patients treated with disopyramide showed QT prolongation [32]. In addition, disopyramide can be continued even if the QTc is 550 ms in patients with wide QRS and 525 ms with narrow QRS without developing arrhythmias. In the examined studies, one patient developed AV block, requiring ICD implantation [36]. Despite the safety profile demonstrated by various studies, it is still advisable to avoid combining disopyramide with other QT-prolonging drugs [31]. Table 1 depicts the major findings in current literature about effects of disopyramide and ECG modifications in paediatric cohorts.

The real side effect of disopyramide is its anticholinergic effect, which can manifest especially in the first weeks of treatment with dry mouth, constipation, and ocular redness. These symptoms tend to reduce over time [30]. Sherrid also demonstrated the safety of combining therapy with pyridostigmine to reduce anticholinergic effects [33]. In the paediatric population, the proportion of patients who discontinued therapy was higher than in adults, but with a lower proportion of discontinuation due to anticholinergic effects [8]. Preventive measures can mitigate these symptoms [30].

Disopyramide increases AV node conduction, so it is recommended to use it in combination with drugs that block the atrioventricular node, such as beta-blockers [37].

Initially, cases of hypertension may occur, probably related to the reduction of the outflow gradient, but these tend to normalize during follow-up [39]. Sherrid et al. demonstrated the absence of negative effects on the renal, hepatic, hematologic, and CNS systems, allowing its use for many years [33].

Future directions

Several studies emphasize that the effect of disopyramide tends to diminish over time. Indeed, the reduction of the outflow gradient is less during the follow-up months. A proportion of treated patients still undergo myectomy, indicating that disopyramide cannot halt the progression of the disease [8,32,35]. Maurizi et al. reported that 50% of treated patients ended up needing surgery, and these were patients with more significant symptoms, in NYHA class III and IV $[34]$.

As research continue to advance, the landscape of treatment for HCM is approaching with novel strategies.

Mavacamten is a novel cardiac myosin inhibitor recently approved by the FDA for the treatment of oHCM in adults. It works by reducing cardiac contractility through the inhibition of cross-bridging between myosin and actin [40,41]*.*

Several trials, including PIONEER-HCM, EXPLORER-HCM, VALOR-HCM and MAVA-LTE have studied its efficacy [42,43]. These studies demonstrated a reduction in the LVOT gradient at rest and after exercise, an increase in peak oxygen uptake (pVO2), improvements in NYHA functional class, enhancements in the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire-Clinical Summary Score (KCCQ-CCS), as well as improvements in NT-proBNP blood test results [40,41,44,45].

Eligible patients for this treatment are those with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy who are refractory to maximal pharmacological therapy and have an ejection fraction >55%. It is also suitable for patients who are candidates for septal reduction therapy but prefer to avoid invasive approaches or do not have access to specialized surgical centers [46]. The efficacy of mavacamten is dose-dependent, with studies showing increased effects at higher drug concentrations.

From a safety perspective, mavacamten generally exhibit a good tolerance profile with mild side effects. The most significant adverse event is related to its negative inotropic effect, which can reduce ejection fraction and potentially lead to heart failure. In such cases, when patients reach an ejection fraction <50%, temporary discontinuing the therapy is usually sufficient to resume contractility and recover ejection fraction [41]. Monitoring of this therapy is primarily echocardiographic and it is particularly rigorous during both the initiation and maintenance phases of treatment, and when the treatment leads to adverse events [41].

The concurrent use of disopyramide and mavacamten is contraindicated due to the negative inotropic effects of both drugs. If it is necessary to proceed with the overlap of mavacamten therapy, a gradual tapering of disopyramide during the introduction of mavacamten has been shown to be safer. As a matter of fact, in some cases where a washout of disopyramide was performed, patients exhibited worse clinical outcomes and cardiac function. This effect is likely related to the different half-lives of the two drugs, with mavacamten having a half-life of 6-9 days compared to 4-10 hours for disopyramide [47]. Mavacamten shows promising results in improving cardiac contractility and has a favorable safety profile in patients with oHCM. However, its long half-life, extended wash-out period, and significant potential to interfere with the metabolism of various drugs due to its influence on multiple P450 cytochromes make it less than ideal. Moreover, it remains a very costly treatment [44]. Another drug currently under study for the treatment of oHCM is aficamten. This new myosin inhibitor has demonstrated a good safety profile and significant short-term efficacy in reducing both resting and post Valsalva LVOT gradients as early as two weeks after starting therapy. Overall, its effects on cardiac function are comparable to those of mavacamten. What makes aficamten promising in comparison is its rapid hemodynamic response within a few weeks of therapy initiation, shorter half-life, and lack of influence on P450 cytochromes, resulting in fewer drugdrug interactions [48-50].

The efficacy of aficamten has been investigated in the phase 2 REDWOOD-HCM trial and further extended to the FOREST-HCM trial. Currently, it is being in the phase 3 SEQUOIA-HCM clinical trial. Preliminary results from SEQUOIA-HCM suggest that 24 weeks after starting therapy aficamten increases pVO2, thereby enhancing overall myocardial efficiency. This improvement is achieved by reducing hypercontractility and improving diastolic function in patients with obstructive HCM. Furtheremore, aficamten has been shown to reduce LVOT pressure gradients by up to 30 mmHg and alleviate symptoms in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, leading to an improvement in NYHA class. Additionally, its short half-life also allows for rapid discontinuation and quick washout in case of adverse effects [50,51].

Conclusions

Disopyramide has demonstrated significant utility in the management of paediatric oHCM, primarily through its ability to reduce LVOT and mitigate symptoms. Despite its efficacy, the use in paediatric populations requires careful consideration due to its side effect profile. The landscape of oHCM treatment is evolving with the emergence of novel agents such as mavacamten and aficamten. Early clinical trials in adult populations have shown encouraging results, suggesting these therapies may offer superior efficacy and a favorable safety profile compared to traditional treatments. However, specific studies focusing on the paediatric population are essential to proof safety, optimal dosing, and long-term outcomes.

Integrating these innovative therapies into clinical practice could revolutionize the management of paediatric oHCM. Future research should prioritize rigorous clinical trials involving paediatric patients to establish robust evidence for the use of mavacamten and aficamten in this cohort [48]. Moreover, comparative studies are needed to evaluate their effectiveness relative to existing treatments like disopyramide and to determine their role in combination therapy.

Continued research, careful patient monitoring, and a tailored approach to treatment will be crucial in exploring new therapeutic options to optimize outcomes of paediatric patients affected with oHCM.

References

1. Moak JP, Kaski JP. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in children. Heart Br Card Soc 2012;98:1044-54.

2. Marian AJ. On predictors of sudden cardiac death in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:994-6.

3. Critoph C, Elliott P. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Card Electrophysiol Clin 2010;2:587- 98.

4. Arbelo E, Protonotarios A, Gimeno JR, et al. 2023 ESC Guidelines for the management of cardiomyopathies. G Ital Cardiol 2006 2023;24:e1-127. [Article in Italian].

5. Maron BJ, Maron MS. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Lancet 2013;381:242-55.

6. Limongelli G, Sarkozy A, Pacileo G, et al. Genotype-phenotype analysis and natural history of left ventricular hypertrophy in LEOPARD syndrome. Am J Med Genet A 2008;146A:620-8.

7. Maron BJ. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review. JAMA 2002;287:1308-20.

8. Topriceanu C-C, Field E, Boleti O, et al. Disopyramide is a safe and effective treatment for children with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Int J Cardiol 2023;371:523-5.

9. Di Salvo G, Pacileo G, Limongelli G, et al. Non sustained ventricular tachycardia in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and new ultrasonic derived parameters. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2010;23:581-90.

10. Tedesco MA, Di Salvo G, Natale F, et al. The heart in neurofibromatosis type 1: an echocardiographic study. Am Heart J 2002;143:883-8.

11. Pacileo G, Baldini L, Limongelli G, et al. Prolonged left ventricular twist in cardiomyopathies: a potential link between systolic and diastolic dysfunction. Eur J Echocardiogr 2011;12:841-9.

12. Ganame J, Mertens L, Eidem BW, et al. Regional myocardial deformation in children with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: morphological and clinical correlations. Eur Heart J 2007;28:2886-94.

13. Franke A, Schöndube FA, Kühl HP, et al. Quantitative assessment of the operative results after extended myectomy and surgical reconstruction of the subvalvular mitral apparatus in hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy using dynamic three-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;31:1641-9.

14. Moscatelli S, Bianco F, Cimini A, et al. The use of stress cardiovascular imaging in pediatric population. Child Basel Switz 2023;10:218.

15. El Assaad I, Gauvreau K, Rizwan R, et al. Value of exercise stress echocardiography in children with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2020;33:888-94.e2.

16. Gaitonde M, Jones S, McCracken C, et al. Evaluation of left ventricular outflow gradients during staged exercise stress echocardiography helps differentiate pediatric patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy from athletes and normal subjects. Pediatr Exerc Sci 2021;33:196-202.

17. Ommen SR, Ho CY, Asif IM, et al. 2024 AHA/ACC/AMSSM/HRS/PACES/SCMR guideline for the management of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a report of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2024;83:2324-405.

18. Borlaug BA, Omote K. Beta-blockers and exercise hemodynamics in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2022;79:1576-8.

19. Stenson RE, Flamm MD, Harrison DC, et al. Hypertrophic subaortic stenosis. Clinical and hemodynamic effects of long-term propranolol therapy. Am J Cardiol 1973;31:763-73.

20. Taha M, Dahat P, Toriola S, et al. Metoprolol or verapamil in the management of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review. Cureus 2023;15:e43197.

21. Maron BJ, Dearani JA, Ommen SR, et al. Low operative mortality achieved with surgical septal myectomy: role of dedicated hypertrophic cardiomyopathy centers in the management of dynamic subaortic obstruction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:1307-8.

22. Nguyen A, Schaff HV. Surgical myectomy: subaortic, midventricular, and apical. Cardiol Clin 2019;37:95-104.

23. Hong JH, Schaff HV, Nishimura RA, et al. Mitral regurgitation in patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy: implications for concomitant valve procedures. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;68:1497-504.

24. Hang D, Schaff HV, Nishimura RA, et al. Accuracy of jet direction on doppler echocardiography in identifying the etiology of mitral regurgitation in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2019;32:333-40.

25. Finocchiaro G, Haddad F, Kobayashi Y, et al. Impact of Septal reduction on left atrial size and diastole in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Echocardiography 2016;33:686-94.

26. Geske JB, Konecny T, Ommen SR, et al. Surgical myectomy improves pulmonary hypertension in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J 2014;35:2032-9.

27. Desai MY, Bhonsale A, Patel P, et al. Exercise echocardiography in asymptomatic HCM: exercise capacity, and not LV outflow tract gradient predicts long-term outcomes. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;7:26-36.

28. Desai MY, Smedira NG, Dhillon A, et al. Prediction of sudden death risk in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: Potential for refinement of current criteria. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018;156:750-9.e3.

29. Laredo M, Khraiche D, Raisky O, et al. Long-term results of the modified Konno procedure in high-risk children with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018;156:2285-94.e2.

30. Ostman-Smith I. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in childhood and adolescence - strategies to prevent sudden death. Fundam Clin Pharmacol 2010;24:637-52.

31. Coppini R, Ferrantini C, Pioner JM, et al. Electrophysiological and contractile effects of disopyramide in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a translational study. JACC Basic Transl Sci 2019;4:795-813.

32. Sherrid MV, Barac I, McKenna WJ, et al. Multicenter study of the efficacy and safety of disopyramide in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:1251- 8.

33. Sherrid MV, Shetty A, Winson G, et al. Treatment of obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy symptoms and gradient resistant to first-line therapy with β-blockade or verapamil. Circ Heart Fail 2013;6:694-702.

34. Maurizi N, Chiriatti C, Fumagalli C, et al. Real-world use and predictors of response to disopyramide in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Clin Med 2023;12:2725.

35. O'Connor MJ, Miller K, Shaddy RE, et al. Disopyramide use in infants and children with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Cardiol Young 2018;28:530-5.

36. Sherrid MV, Arabadjian M. A primer of disopyramide treatment of obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2012;54:483-92.

37. Ommen SR, Mital S, Burke MA, et al. 2020 AHA/ACC guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2021;162:e23-106.

38. Yedidya I, Elbaz Greener G, Vaturi M, et al. Impact of short-acting disopyramide on left ventricular mechanics evaluated by strain analysis in patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy. J Clin Med 2022;11:7325.

39. Haruki S, Minami Y, Suzuki A, et al. Effects of flecainide on left ventricular pressure gradient and symptoms in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a comparison of flecainide and disopyramide. Heart Vessels 2015;30:604-10.

40. Schenk A, Fields N. Mavacamten - a targeted therapy for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2023;81:317-26.

41. Dong T, Alencherry B, Ospina S, et al. Review of mavacamten for obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and future directions. Drug Des Devel Ther 2023;17:1097-106.

42. Olivotto I, Oreziak A, Barriales-Villa R, et al. Mavacamten for treatment of symptomatic obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (EXPLORER-HCM): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2020;396:759-69.

43. Rader F, Oręziak A, Choudhury L, et al. Mavacamten treatment for symptomatic

obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: interim results from the MAVA-LTE study, EXPLORER-LTE cohort. JACC Heart Fail 2024;12:164-77.

44. Dalo JD, Weisman ND, White CM. Mavacamten, a first-in-class cardiac myosin inhibitor for obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Ann Pharmacother 2023;57:489-502.

45. Hegde SM, Lester SJ, Solomon SD, et al. Effect of mavacamten on echocardiographic features in symptomatic patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2021;78:2518-532.

46. Desai MY, Hajj Ali A. Mavacamten, an alternative to septal reduction therapy for patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Heart Int 2023;17:2-4.

47. Willeford A, Silva Enciso J. Transitioning disopyramide to mavacamten in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a case series and clinical guide. Pharmacotherapy 2023;43:1397-404.

48. Sebastian SA, Padda I, Lehr EJ, et al. Aficamten: a breakthrough therapy for symptomatic obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs Drugs Devices Interv 2023;23:519-32.

49. Maron MS, Masri A, Choudhury L, et al. Phase 2 study of aficamten in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2023;81:34-45.

50. Coats CJ, Maron MS, Abraham TP, et al. Exercise capacity in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: SEQUOIA-HCM baseline characteristics and study design. JACC Heart Fail 2024;12:199-215.

51. Ottaviani A, Mansour D, Molinari LV, et al. Revisiting diagnosis and treatment of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: current practice and novel perspectives. J Clin Med 2023;12:5710.

Table 1. Overview of main cited studies about the use of disopyramide and its effects on ECG and echocardiographic findings.

Study	$\bm{\bm{\omega}}$ Year of	Main findings	ECG analysis: QTc assessment
	publication		
Sherrid et al. [32]	2005	LVOTO: reduction of 50% in 3 $\overline{}$ years NYHA: decreased from 2.3 to 1.7 —	not significant
Sherrid et al. [33]	2013	LVOTO: from 63 ± 45 to 25 ± 32 $\overline{}$ mmHg (p<0.0001) - 60% reduction SYMPTOMS: overall reduction	stopped when QTc>525 ms
Sherrid et	2012	LVOTO: reduction in 2/3 $\overline{}$	stopped when QTc>525 ms
al. [36]		SYMPTOMS reduction —	
Coppini et al. [31]	$\overline{20}19$	\equiv LVOTO: from 58 ± 49 mm Hg to 25 ± 26 mm Hg after 96 days of disopyramide $(p<0.001)$.	QTc interval increased from 458 ms to 486 ms ($p < 0.001$). Mean QTc prolongation was 27 ms, which corresponds to a 5.8% increase from baseline.interval (greater than the median 457 ms) had a smaller increase in QTc interval than did patients with shorter initial QTc interval (DQTc: 18 4 ms vs. 34 8 ms, p 1/4 0.007). There was an inverse correlation between the initial QTc interval and the increase in QTc interval with disopyramide (Pearson r 1/4 0.44, p $1/4$ 0.008).
Maurizi et al. [34]	2023	LVOTO: significantly reduced $(72\pm36 \text{ mmHg} \text{ versus } 49\pm31$ mmHg; p<0.001) NYHA: 5 (4%) patients in NYHA II - class became asymptomatic; 21 (25%) patients improved their functional class from NYHA III to NYHA II	19 (16%) patients showed reduced QTc from baseline; 19 (16%) had no difference, while 80 (68%) patients had a prolonged QTc interval.
Topriceanu et al. $[8]$	2023	LVOTO: no change — NYHA: reduction of symptoms in 86.8% and asymptomatic in 31.6% after six months	2 (3.9%) QTc>500 ms
O'Connor et al. [35]	2018	LVOTO: reduction of 52.8% in 8 — patients but not at the last follow up	statistically significant $(p=0.004)$ but clinically insignificant increase in QTc. median % increase of 12.9%
Yedidya et al. [38]	2022	Reduction of global longitudinal strain, segmental longitudinal strain, the base-to-apex gradient, and systolic rotational mechanics.	

LVOTO, left ventricular outflow tract obstruction; NYHA, New York Heart Association.

Figure 1. A) Parasternal long axis view of an HCM patient depicting distribution of hypertrophy especially in the interventricular septum; B) short axis view of the same patient with interventricular wall thickness of 24.62 mm.

Figure 2. A) Patient with septal hypertrophy with peak gradient of 46.97 mmHg at rest; B) 3- D Echocardiography in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with significant interventricular septal hypertrophy (+) apical four chamber view.

Figure 3. 3D echocardiography for assessment of left ventricular geometry and papillary muscle evaluation.