



**Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease**

eISSN 2532-5264 https://www.monaldi-archives.org/

**Publisher's Disclaimer**. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The *Early Access* service lets users access peer-reviewed articles well before print / regular issue publication, significantly reducing the time it takes for critical findings to reach the research community.

These articles are searchable and citable by their DOI (Digital Object Identifier).

The **Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease** is, therefore, e-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

*All legal disclaimers applicable to the journal apply to this production process as well.*

Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2025 [Online ahead of print]

*To cite this Article:*

İnan S, Bilaçeroğlu S, Uludağ Artun B. **Significance of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels in lung cancer.** *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* doi: 10.4081/monaldi.2025.3174

> O CThe Author(s), 2025 *Licensee* PAGEPress, Italy

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



## **Significance of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels in lung cancer**

Sinem İnan, <sup>1</sup> Semra Bilaçeroğlu, <sup>2</sup> Burcu Uludağ Artun3

<sup>1</sup>Department of Allergy and Immunology, Izmir City Hospital, Izmir; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pulmonology, Izmir Faculty of Medicine, University of Health Sciences, Izmir; <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Izmir City Hospital, Izmir, Turkey

**Correspondence**: Sinem İnan, Department of Allergy and Immunology, Izmir City Hospital, 35040 Izmir, Turkey. Tel.: +905078737900. E-mail: drsineminan@gmail.com

**Contributions**: all authors have contributed significantly and that all authors agree with the content of the manuscript. Sİ, SB, BUA methodology, software and formal analysis, writing – original draft; Sİ, SB, reviewed data of the cohort, supervised the draft preparation, writing final version – review and editing. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

**Conflict of interest**: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**: the study protocol was approved by the institutional Ethical Review Committee of the Health Sciences University, İzmir Dr. Suat Seren Training and Research Hospital for Thoracic Medicine and Surgery (protocol no: 5840, dated: 21/08/2017).

**Informed consent:** informed consents were not obtained from the patients because of the retrospective design of the study conducted on patient charts and digital data in the archives.

**Patient consent for publication**: not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials**: the data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Funding**: none.

### **Abstract**

High blood levels of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) have been shown in various malignancies. In lung cancer, the importance of NT-proBNP is not clear. In this study, we aimed to investigate the significance of the correlation of NT-proBNP levels in lung cancer with tumor stage, tumor diameter, histopathology, and specific sites of mediastinal metastasis: lymphadenopathy; pericardial, cardiac, major vessel, other mediastinal organ or lymphatic involvement/invasion.

A total of 105 lung cancer and 120 control patients (chronic obstructive lung disease, interstitial lung disease, pulmonary thromboembolism, and pneumonia; 30/subgroup) with measured NT-proBNP levels were included retrospectively. Demographics, comorbidities, and echocardiographic findings in all patients, as well as histologic subtype, diameter, stage, and radiologic and/or pathologic mediastinal involvement/invasion of the tumor to the mediastinum in patients with lung cancer, were studied with regards to blood NT-proBNP levels.

When lung cancer and control groups were compared globally or as subgroups with comorbidities, NT-proBNP levels did not show meaningful differences. However, NTproBNP levels were determined to be 249 pg/mL and 88 pg/mL in lung cancer (n=68) and control subgroups (n=58) without comorbidities, respectively (p=0.001). Among lung cancer patients without comorbidities and those with cardiac, pericardial, major vascular, or other mediastinal involvement/invasion (lymphadenopathy, lymphatic, or other organ invasion)  $(n=27)$ , the NT-proBNP level was 303 pg/mL, whereas it was 166 pg/mL in those without these mediastinal invasions  $(n=41)$  ( $p=0.031$ ).

There is a need for much larger, randomized studies to obtain evidence for the potential role of NT-proBNP as a helpful diagnostic biomarker for lung cancer. Clinical suspicion of malignancy may be posed if high NT-proBNP levels cannot be explained by all other risk factors and disorders or diseases. Furthermore, pericardial, cardiac, major vessel, or other mediastinal invasion/involvement should be sought when high NT-proBNP levels are determined in lung cancer patients without any comorbidities or risk factors for high NTproBNP levels.

**Key words:** lung cancer, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, mediastinal invasion, comorbidity, tumor stage, tumor histology.

## **Introduction**

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. The chances of curing lung cancer are closely associated with the disease stage during diagnosis. Therefore, early diagnosis of lung cancer is crucial, but majority of the patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage [1]. The tumor, node, and metastasis (TNM) staging system for lung cancer is an internationally recognized system, based on tumor size and location, involvement of lymph nodes at specific sites and presence of metastases either intrathoracic (other lung, pleural or/and pericardial) or distant ones [2].

B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) and the N-terminal prohormone of BNP (NT-proBNP) are released by cardiomyocytes in response to ventricular wall stretching due to pressure and volume overload. These hormones are potent biomarkers in various cardiovascular diseases: coronary artery disease, valvular heart disease, constrictive pericarditis, pulmonary hypertension, and congenital heart diseases [3,4]. The levels of BNP and NT-proBNP are influenced by various factors [4]. Increased levels of these biomarkers have been reported in renal diseases, neurological disorders, sepsis, pulmonary embolism, and cor pulmonale resulting from pressure overload and structural anomalies of the right ventricle [5-9].

Few studies have examined NT-proBNP levels in lung cancer and other malignant diseases [10-18]. Elevated NT-proBNP levels observed in these studies may be associated with chemoradiotherapy [14,19,20], tumor-related fluid overload, hyperviscosity [21], paraneoplastic syndromes [22], tumor metastases, and tumor-released vasoactive peptides [15,23-25]. A few studies have indirectly demonstrated that these peptides can be produced and released by malignant cells [15].

In this study, we investigated the significance of the correlation of NT-proBNP levels in lung cancer with tumor stage, tumor diameter, histopathology, and specific metastatic sites: pericardial, cardiac, major vessel, or other mediastinal involvement/invasion (lymphadenopathy, lymphatic or other organ invasion).

## **Materials and Methods**

The data of the patients followed up at our hospital from January 2010 to September 2017 were retrospectively analyzed following approval from the ethics committee of our hospital (5840, 21.08.2017). This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. We did not use artificial intelligence (AI)–assisted technologies (such as Large Language Models [LLMs], chatbots, or image creators) in the production of the submitted work.

The study group included 105 patients with histopathologically diagnosed lung cancer and the control group included 120 patients with 30 in four subgroups each (Figure 1) for all of whom NT-proBNP levels, cardiology consultation and echocardiographic reports were already available in the digital records. In all patients in lung cancer and control groups, only the NT-proBNP levels before specific oncological treatment or specific treatments in the control group were taken into consideration for this study.

The chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) subgroup was diagnosed according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2017 [26]. The interstitial lung disease (ILD) subgroup was diagnosed based on thoracic computed tomography and/or histopathology of bronchoscopic biopsy. The pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE) subgroup had thromboembolism-induced filling defects in the main/lobar/segmental pulmonary arteries on computed tomography pulmonary angiography, alongside compatible clinical findings. The pneumonia subgroup were diagnosed based on clinical, laboratory and radiological findings.

In all patients, the relationships between NT-proBNP levels and demographic characteristics, comorbidities, and echocardiographic findings were analyzed. In lung cancer group, histological subtype; tumor diameter; involvement/invasion of mediastinum (lymphadenopathy; heart, pericardium, major vessels, other organ or lymphatic invasion) based on radiological and/or pathological examination, and tumor stage according to the eighth TNM staging were examined in relation to NT-proBNP levels.

In all patients within lung cancer and control groups, the presence/absence of heart failure (HF) or other heart disorder had already been confirmed through cardiology consultation, echocardiographic findings, and clinicoradiological assessments as obtained from the patient charts and digital records. During the study period, verification was requested from a cardiologist based on digital records and patient charts, where necessary.

Comorbidities included heart disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease, neurological and renal diseases, cor pulmonale, obesity, and malignancies other than lung cancer. As some patients in lung cancer and control groups were not clinically stable regarding comorbidities, NT-proBNP levels in the subgroups with and without comorbidities were analyzed and compared.

The absence of any of the following data led to the exclusion of the patient from the study: 1. NT-proBNP measurement within the period from 1 month before to 1 month after the definitive diagnosis, 2. NT-proBNP measurement before treatment (chemotherapy, radiotherapy or surgery for lung cancer, and specific treatments for the diseases in control subgroups), 3. A histopathological diagnosis of lung cancer, 4. Cardiology consultation and/or echocardiography findings. Patients aged >75 years were also excluded.

The upper limit of normal for NT-proBNP level was considered 125 pg/mL, with levels >125 pg/mL classified as high [27]. Serum NT-proBNP level was measured by ECLIA (Electrochemiluminescence immunoassay) using an Elecsys 1010/2010 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) on an E170 device by sandwich method.

### *Statistics*

Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS 22.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) program. For categorical data, a contingency table was generated, and a chi-square analysis was conducted. <sup>T</sup>-test was used to compare normally distributed numerical variables between the two groups. Non-normally distributed numerical variables were compared using Mann–Whitney  $U$  test or Kruskal–Wallis analysis. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. ROC (receiver operating characteristic curve) analysis was used to determine the NT-proBNP threshold level. Descriptive statistics included number and percentage for categorical variables, and the numerical variables were expressed as median (minimum–maximum) or arithmetic mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

#### **Results**

Of the patients included in the study, 167 (74.2%) were males; 58 (25.8%) were females. The mean age of the lung cancer group was  $64 \pm 8.1$  years, and 84.8% were male whereas the mean age of the control group was  $62.2 \pm 11.6$  years, and  $65\%$  were male. No differences were found between the lung cancer and control groups in terms of age and gender (Table 1).

In the ROC curve analysis for the NT-proBNP levels in the study groups, the area under the curve value was 0.621 (close to 0.5) and not sufficient to determine a cut-off value. Therefore, a cut-off value was not calculated for the lung cancer and control groups.

In both lung cancer and control groups, there was no difference in NT-proBNP levels between patients under and over 50 years or 60 years of age ( $p > 0.05$ ).

Comorbidities were in 99 cases (44%). Higher number of comorbidities was observed in the control group ( $n = 62$ , 51.7%) compared to that in the lung cancer group ( $n = 37$ , 35.2%) (p = 0.013). The highest number of patients was observed in the heart disease group [congestive heart failure (CHF), coronary artery disease, and valvular heart disease] ( $n = 59$ , 26.2%). There were 32 (30.5%) and 27 (22.5%) patients with heart disease in the lung cancer and control groups ( $p = 0.175$ ), respectively. Fourteen (11%) patients in the lung cancer group and 31 (25.8%) in the control group were diagnosed with hypertension ( $p=0.019$ ) (Table 1).

The study and control groups were analyzed regarding the presence of left HF as well as pulmonary hypertension and/or right HF caused by respiratory failure due to COPD, ILD, PTE, and pneumonia. At least one was present in four (3.8%) patients in the lung cancer group and 25 (20.8%) in the control group ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 1).

### *Comparison of lung cancer and control groups in total and according to comorbidity*

The median serum NT-proBNP values in patients with lung cancer and those in control were analyzed (Figure 1). The NT-proBNP level was 270 pg/mL (n= 105) in the lung cancer group and 281 pg/mL (n= 120) in the control group (p =  $0.885$ ).

When the groups were analyzed according to comorbidities, the NT-proBNP level was comparable (486 pg/mL) in lung cancer group with comorbidities ( $n = 37$ ) to that (914 pg/mL) in the control group with comorbidities ( $n = 62$ ) ( $p = 0.155$ ) (Table 2). However, when patients with comorbidities that could affect NT-proBNP were eliminated, the NTproBNP level was significantly higher (249 pg/mL) in lung cancer group without comorbidities ( $n = 68$ ) than that (88 pg/mL) in the control group without comorbidities ( $n =$ 58) (p = 0.001) (Table 2).

### *Comparison of lung cancer subgroups with and without comorbidities*

The median NT-proBNP level was 249 pg/mL in lung cancer group without comorbidities (n  $= 62$ ) and 486 pg/mL in that with comorbidities (n = 37) (p = 0.003). In the the control group, NT-proBNP levels were also higher in patients with comorbidities compared with those without comorbidities (Figure 1 and Table 3).

# *Comparison of noncomorbid lung cancer subgroups with and without mediastinal involvement*

The lung cancer patients without comorbidities ( $n = 68$ ) were analyzed according to the presence of mediastinal involvement/invasion; tumor diameter (<3 cm and 3cm); cancer stage; and histopathological subtypes (squamous, adenocarcinoma, small cell lung cancer [SCLC]) (Figure 1).

The NT-proBNP level was 303 pg/mL in patients with lung cancer invading the heart, pericardium, major vessels, or with other mediastinal involvement/invasion (lymphadenopathy, lymphatic or other organ invasion) ( $n = 27$ ), and was 166 pg/mL in those without mediastinal involvement/invasion ( $n = 41$ ) ( $p = 0.031$ ) (Table 4).

## *Comparisons by tumor size, early/advanced stage, site/number of metastasis and histopathology*

The NT-proBNP level was 258 pg/mL in patients with a tumor diameter 3 cm ( $n = 51$ ) and 138 pg/mL in those with a tumor diameter <3 cm (n = 17) (p = 0.075). However, 78.4% (n = 40) of patients with a tumor diameter 3 cm and  $58.8\%$  (n = 10) of those with a tumor diameter <3 cm had NT-proBNP level above the threshold. This insignificant difference of 20% was attributed to the small sample size.

The patients were further divided into early (stage 1 and 2) and advanced (3 and 4) stages. The NT-proBNP value was 174 pg/mL in stages 1 and 2 ( $n = 12$ ) and 253 pg/mL in stages 3 and 4 (n = 56) (p = 0.330) (Table 4). There were no statistically significant differences in NTproBNP levels in stage IV patients regarding the site or number of metastases (p>0.05). Evaluation of the lung cancer patients without comorbidity according to histopathologic subtypes showed 13 (19.1%) SCLC, 30 (44.1%) adenocarcinoma, and 25 (36.7%) squamous cell lung cancer cases. The NT-proBNP level was 267 pg/mL in SCLC, 184 pg/mL in adenocarcinoma, and 270 pg/mL in squamous cell lung cancer ( $p = 0.208$ ) (Table 5).

### *Comparison of SCLC and NSCLC groups in total and by absence of comorbidity*

The comparison of the NT-proBNP levels in SCLC (n=19) and nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) groups (n=86) showed no significant difference [267 pg/ml (29-1016) vs 277 pg/ml  $(20-35000)$ , respectively; p= 0.790]. Similarly, when the subgroups of SCLC (n=13) and NSCLC (n=55) without comorbidities were compared [267 (57-1016) vs 204 pg/ml (20- 3063), respectively], there was no significant difference ( $p= 0.628$ ).

# *Comparisons within NSCLC by early/advanced stages, and by tumor diameter in early stage (stage 1+2) in the total groups and noncomorbid subgroups*

There were no significant differences between the early stage (stage  $1+2$ ) (n= 18), stage 3,  $(n= 44)$  and stage 4 (n= 24) within the total NSCLC group regarding NT-proBNP levels [178] pg/ml (40-1112), 418.5 pg/ml (48-35000), 211 pg/ml (20-2252), respectively (p= 0.226)].

Similarly, between the subgroups with a tumor diameter of  $<$ 3 cm (n= 10) and  $\geq$  3 cm (n=8) within the total group of early stage NSCLC, the NT proBNP levels did not show significant difference  $[162 \text{ pg/ml } (80-1112), 264 (40-920),$  respectively  $(p= 0.300)$ ].

No significant difference was found also between the early stage (stage  $1+2$ ) (n=12), stage 3  $(25)$ , and stage 4 noncomorbid NSCLC subgroups (n= 18) [174 pg/ml (40-573), 250 pg/ml (53-3063), 156.5 pg/ml (20-1704) pg/ml, respectively (p= 0.632)].

The subgroups with a tumor diameter <3 cm (n= 6) and  $\geq$  3 cm (n= 6) within the early stage noncomorbid NSCLC group did not differ significantly [221 pg/ml (83-573), 170 pg/ml (40- 342), respectively (p= 0.7367)].

# *Comparisons within SCLC by limited/extensive stage in the total group and noncomorbid subgroup*

Between the limited ( $n= 3$ ) and extensive stages ( $n= 16$ ) of the total SCLC group, the NTproBNP levels were comparable [258 pg/ml (138-325), 276 pg/ml (29-1016), respectively  $(p=0.798)$ ].

Between the limited ( $n= 2$ ) and extensive stages ( $n=11$ ) within the noncomorbid subgroup of SCLC, the NT-proBNP levels were also comparable [198 pg/ml (138-258), 303 pg/ml (57- 1016), respectively (n= 0.204)].

### **Discussion**

Our study yielded two key findings. First, NT-proBNP levels were significantly higher in lung cancer patients without comorbidities compared to those in control patients without comorbidities. Second, among patients with lung cancer without comorbidities, those with mediastinal involvement/invasion (lymphadenopathy; cardiac, pericardial, major vascular, other mediastinal organ or lymphatic invasion) had higher NT-proBNP levels than those without.

In parallel to these findings of our study, Aujollet et al. found that in patients with lung cancer without risk factors that might affect NT-proBNP levels, NT-proBNP levels were seven-fold higher than in those without cancer [10]. Lafaras et al. reported that NT-proBNP levels increased because of restrictive type cardiomyopathy secondary to cardiac/pericardial metastases in NSCLC [12]. In our study, three patients with lung cancer and elevated NTproBNP levels had pericardial and cardiac invasion, with only one of them having CHF.

The NT-proBNP levels in the entire lung cancer group and control group were comparable. This result can be attributed to the fact that each group was mixed with comorbidities/risks causing confounding results regarding NT-proBNP levels. When the patients with risk factors/comorbidities were eliminated in both groups, the lung cancer group had a significantly higher NT-proBNP level. The same approach of excluding patients with comorbidities/risk factors for elevated NT-proNBP levels in lung cancer and control groups were used in quite a number of pertinent studies [10,13,28,29], and higher NT-proBNP levels were determined in lung cancer groups [10,13].

Some previous studies suggest that BNP, with results similar to NT-proBNP [30], leads to a reduction in distant metastases by inhibiting the production of collagen 1 and fibronectin proteins along with cell proliferation induced by TGF-β which plays a role in tumor invasion and metastasis in NSCLC [31,32]. In contrast, another study involving 80 patients with various malignancies found elevated NT-proBNP levels particularly in those with advanced cancer. Thus, NT-proBNP was suggested as a marker for advanced stage and prognosis [13]. In the current study, we did not study the effect of NT-proBNP on the prognosis of lung cancer. However, that lung cancer patients without any comorbidities/risks for high NTproBNP levels but with mediastinal involvement/invasion (stage 3B) had higher NT-proBNP levels than those without might suggest higher NT-proBNP levels in advanced stage with worse prognosis. Our study cannot confirm the relationship of high NT-proBNP and prognosis as we have not analyzed survival or mortality. In studies on lung cancer and other malignancies, higher levels of NT-proBNP have been shown in more extensive, advanced, metastatic, or progressive disease with worse prognosis and shorter survival [28,29,33].

The current study found no difference in NT-proBNP levels between patients with early-stage (stage  $1-2$ ,  $n = 12$ ) and advanced-stage (stage  $3-4$ ,  $n = 56$ ) lung cancer. The lack of a significant increase in NT-proBNP levels in advanced stages may be attributed to the limited number of patients in the early stage or the heterogeneity in the tumor inhibitory effect of NT-proBNP [13,31,32]. Furthermore, there were no significant differences in NT-proBNP levels in stage IV regarding the site and number of metastases.

In the lung cancer group without comorbidities, there was no significant difference in NTproBNP values between those with a tumor diameter 3 cm and those with a tumor diameter <3 cm. The 20% insignificant difference between the NT-proBNP levels exceeding the upper limit of normal in tumors 3 cm (78.4%) and in those <3 cm (58.8%) may be attributed to the small sample size. To our knowledge, there is no study directly assessing the NT-proBNP levels according to the size of primary lung cancer or other malignancy.

In our study, further analyses were performed to show the differences between the NSCLC and SCLC groups and between particular stages in NSCLC and SCLC separately regarding NT-proBNP levels. No significant differences were found between NSCLC and SCLC groups, and between their subgroups without comorbidities. The comparisons between TNM stages 1+2, stage 3, and stage 4 within the total NSCLC group as well as comparisons between these stages within the noncomorbid NSCLC subgroup did not show any significant differences. Similarly, the NT proBNP levels did not show significant differences between the subgroups with a tumor diameter of  $<$ 3 cm and  $\geq$  3 cm within the total group or noncomorbid subgroup of early stage NSCLC. Comparisons within SCLC, between limited and extensive stages in the total group and noncomorbid subgroup were comparable with no significant differences. These nonsignificant differences between NSCLC and SCLC, between stages within NSCLC or SCLC, and between tumor sizes within early-stage NSCLC might be due to small number of cases in the subgroups.

Ohsaki et al. suggested that SCLC cells release BNP by demonstrating BNP mRNA in SCLC cells [15]. In another study, high NT-proBNP levels were found in lung cancer other than SCLC [10]. In our study, lung cancer patients without comorbidities were categorized into histopathological subgroups: adenocarcinoma, squamous cell lung cancer, and SCLC. In each of these histological subtypes, NT-proBNP levels were elevated. However, when the histological subgroups were compared, no significant difference in NT-proBNP levels was observed. Our results suggest that NT-proBNP levels may also be elevated in histological subtypes of lung cancer other than SCLC. However, the subgroups are small to derive a definite conclusion.

A recent study reported an association between high NT-proBNP levels and an increased risk of breast, prostate, and colorectal cancers [34]. Another study found that high NT-proBNP levels were associated with disease severity as an independent risk factor for ultra-high-risk in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients[35].

In patients with multi-organ malignancies, those with hematologic malignancies often have very high levels of NT-proBNP (>50,000 pg/mL). This has been attributed to hyperviscosity resulting from paraproteinemias observed during the disease prognosis, frequent blood transfusion, and fluid overload secondary to cardiac dysfunction caused by systemic amyloidosis [21]. In contrast, Burjonroppa et al. argued that there was no relationship between high BNP levels and volume overload or left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in cancer patients with multiple comorbidities [11]. In the current study, we too found that among lung cancer patients without comorbidities, NT-proBNP was elevated in those without evidence of fluid overload based on echocardiography and physical examination findings.

The reason for elevated NT-proBNP levels in lung cancer patients and those with other organ malignancies has not yet been established through evidence-based findings but suggested through indirect results. The causes may include tumor-related fluid overload and increase in hydrostatic pressure due to major mediastinal vessel and/or heart invasion or compression, and decrease in lymphatic drainage due to mediastinal lymphadenopathy. Other related causes might be hyperviscosity, paraneoplastic syndromes, tumor metastases, various mechanisms related to cytokines, vasoactive peptides, and growth factors released from tumor cells, or the production of NT- proBNP by malignant cells [15,21-25]. Treatment of lung cancer and other malignancies by chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or surgery can also affect the NT-proBNP levels. In patients with favorable or stable response, NT-proBNP levels are lower than in those with progressive disease [28,29]. On the other hand, cardiotoxicity due to chemotherapy or other oncological treatments can lead to high NT-proBNP levels [28,36].

The limitations of the current study include its retrospective design, absence of a healthy control group, performance in a single center, and the small sample sizes particularly in the subgroups, which may have affected the obtention of strong statistical findings and results.

As high NT-proBNP levels have been shown mostly in advanced stages of lung cancer, the value of NT-proBNP in the era of thoracic CT scan and lung cancer screening can be questioned. However, the exact mechanism of the elevated natriuretic peptides is not clear yet in lung cancer and other malignancies. They may be produced by cancer cells and may also decrease the number of cancer cells through inhibiting vasculogenesis. Although currently providing no use as a screening tool in early-stage lung cancer, NT-proBNP can be a useful marker in monitoring the disease, determining extension of the tumor and checking the effect and toxicity of therapy [13,18,37]. On the other hand, inflammatory conditions are generally present prior to a malignant change, and an oncogenic process produces a microenvironment of inflammation promoting tumor development. Several pro-inflammatory cytokines (tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukins) may stimulate NT-proBNP synthesis before oncogenic change. Based on this mechanism, the use of NT-proBNP as a potential screening molecular marker in early-stage lung cancer can be investigated [13,38].

Larger, prospective, multicentric and randomized controlled trials are needed to explore the relationship between lung cancer and NT-proBNP more accurately and reliably by investigating all possible aspects related to tumor and its treatment.

### **Conclusions**

Thoracic malignancy, primarily lung cancer can be considered in cases with clinical suspicion and high NT-proBNP levels that cannot be explained by other risk factors and diseases. Furthermore pericardial, cardiac, major vessel or other mediastinal organ invasion, mediastinal lymphadenopathy or tumor invading/compressing lymphatics that cause decrease in lymphatic drainage should be sought when high NT-proBNP levels are determined in lung cancer patients without any comorbidities or risk factors for high NTproBNP levels.

### **References**

1. Midthun DE, Lilenbaum RC, Schild SE, Vora SR. Overview of the initial treatment and prognosis of lung cancer. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/overview-ofthe-initial-treatment-and-prognosis-of-lung-cancer.

2. Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, et al. The IASLC lung cancer staging project: proposals for revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (eighth) edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2016;11:39-51.

3. Volpe M. Natriuretic peptides and cardio-renal disease. Int J Cardiol 2014;176:630-9.

4. Chen HH, Colucci WS. Natriuretic peptide measurement in non-heart failure settings. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/natriuretic-peptide-measurement-in-nonheart-failure-settings .

5. Luchner A, Hengstenberg C, Löwel H, et al. Effect of compensated renal dysfunction on approved heart failure markers: direct comparison of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal pro-BNP. Hypertension 2005;46:118-23.

6. Meaudre E, Jego C, Kenane N, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide release and left ventricular filling pressure assessed by echocardiographic study after subarachnoid hemorrhage: a prospective study in non-cardiac patients. Crit Care 2009;13:R76.

7. Rudiger A, Gasser S, Fischler M, et al. Comparable increase of B-type natriuretic peptide and amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels in patients with severe sepsis, septic shock, and acute heart failure. Crit Care Med 2006;34:2140-4.

8. McLean AS, Huang SJ, Hyams S, et al. Prognostic values of B- type natriuretic peptide in severe sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care Med 2007;35:1019-26.

9. Phua J, Lim TK, Lee KH. B-type natriuretic peptide: issues for the intensivist and pulmonologist. Crit Care Med 2005;33:2094-13.

10. Aujollet N, Meyer M, Cailliod R, et al. High N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide: A biomarker of lung cancer? Clin Lung Cancer 2010;11:341-5.

11. Burjonroppa SC, Tong AT, Xiao LC, et al. Cancer patients with markedly elevated Btype natriuretic peptide may not have volume overload. Am J Clin Oncol 2007;30:287-93.

12. Lafaras C, Mandala E, Saratzis A, et al. Pro-brain natriuretic peptide is a sensitive marker for detecting cardiac metastases in patients with non- small cell lung cancer. Onkologie 2009;32:389-92.

13. Bando S, Soeki T, Matsuura T, et al. Plasma brain natriuretic peptide levels are elevated in patients with cancer. PLoS One 2017;12:e0178607.

14. Dautzenberg B, Arriagada R, Chammard AB, et al. A controlled study of postoperative radiotherapy for patients with completely resected nonsmall cell lung carcinoma. Groupe d'Etude et de Traitement des Cancers Bronchiques. Cancer 1999;86:265-73.

15. Ohsaki Y, Gross AJ, Le PT, et al. Human small cell lung cancer cells produce brain natriuretic peptide. Oncology 1999;56:155-9.

16. Pavo N, Raderer M, Hülsmann M, et al. Cardiovascular biomarkers in patients with cancer and their association with all-cause mortality. Heart 2015;101:1874-80.

17. Law C, Glover C, Benson K, Guglin M. Extremely high brain natriuretic peptide does not reflect the severity of heart failure. Congest Heart Fail 2010;16:221-5.

18. Chovanec J, Chovanec M, Mego M. Levels of NT-proBNP and troponin T in cancer patients - mini-review. Klin Onkol 2020;33:171-6.

19. Burdett S, Parmar MKB, Stewart LA, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data from nine randomised controlled trials. PORT meta analysis trialist group. Lancet 1998;352:257-63.

20. Martinou M, Gaya A. Cardiac complications after radical radiotherapy. Semin Oncol 2013;40:178-85.

21. Popat J, Rivero A, Pratap P, Guglin M. What is causing extremely elevated amino terminal brain natriuretic peptide in cancer patients? Congest Heart Fail 2013;19:143-8.

22. Refardt J, Winzeler B, Meienberg F, Christ-Crain M. Artificial syndrome of inappropriate antidiuresis model as potential use for diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. Horm Metab Res 2017;49:673-9.

23. Nikitenko LL, Fox SB, Kehoe S, et al. Adrenomedullin and tumour angiogenesis. Br J Cancer 2006;94:1-7.

24. Nelson J, Bagnato A, Battistini B, Nisen P. The endothelin axis: emerging role in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3:110-6.

25. Wigle DA, Campling BG, Sarda IR, et al. ANP secretion from small cell lung cancer cell lines: a potential model of ANP release. Am J Physiol 1995;268:H1869-74.

26. GOLD. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of COPD. 2017. Available from: https://goldcopd.org/gold-2017-global-strategy-diagnosismanagement-%20prevention-copd/.

27. Hildebrandt P, Collinson PO. Amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide testing to assist the diagnostic evaluation of heart failure in symptomatic primary care patients. Am J Cardiol 2008;101:25-8.

28. Chovanec J, Jr, Chovanec J Sr, Chovanec M, Mego M. Levels of NT-proBNP in patients with cancer. Levels of NT-proBNP in patients with cancer. Oncol Lett 2023;26:280.

29. Otsuka T, Nojiri T, Minami S, et al. Evaluation of natriuretic peptide in non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with bevacizumab together with carboplatin–paclitaxel: a prospective study. Anticancer Res 2017;37:3505-12.

30. Munagala VK, Burnett JC Jr, Redfield MM. The natriuretic peptides in cardiovascular medicine. Curr Probl Cardiol 2004;29:707-69.

31. Kapoun AM, Liang F, O'Young G, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide exerts broad functional opposition to transforming growth factor-beta in primary human cardiac fibroblasts: fibrosis, myofibroblast conversion, proliferation, and inflammation. Circ Res 2004;94:453-61.

32. Masago K, Fujita S, Togashi Y, et al. Association between brain natriuretic peptide and distant metastases in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients. Oncol Lett 2011;2:253-6.

33. Komarnicki P, Gut P, Musiałkiewicz J, et al. NT-proBNP as a neuroendocrine tumor biomarker: beyond heart failure. Endocr Connect 2023;12:e230249.

34. Srour B, Kaaks R, Johnson T, et al. Ageing-related markers and risks of cancer and cardiovascular disease: a prospective study in the EPIC-Heidelberg cohort. Eur J Epidemiol 2022;37:49-65.

35. Geng C, Yang G, Zhou H, et al. Characteristics and risk factors of ultra-high-risk patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. J Pers Med 2023;13:666.

36. Romano S, Fratini S, Ricevuto E, et al. Serial measurements of NT-proBNP are predictive of not-high-dose anthracycline cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. Br J Cancer 2011;105:1663-8.

37. Semeraro GC, Cipolla CM, Cardinale D M. Role of cardiac biomarkers in cancer patients. Cancers 2021;13:5426.

38. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. Nature 2008;454:436-44.

	<b>Control Subgroups</b>				<b>Total control</b>	Lung cancer	$p^*$
	<b>COPD</b>	<b>ILD</b>	<b>PTE</b>	Pneumonia	group $(n=120)$	group $(n=105)$	
	$(n=30)$	$(n=30)$	$(n=30)$	$(n=30)$			
Gender, F	8	$\overline{12}$		11	$\overline{42}$	$\overline{16}$	0.996
	6.6%	10%	9.1%	9.1%	35%	15.2%	
Gender, M	$\overline{22}$	$\overline{18}$	19	19	78	89	
	18.3%	15%	15.8%	15.8%	65%	84.8%	
Age (mean±SD)	$63.8 \pm 8.38$	$60.4 \pm 13.7$	$59.7 \pm 16.1$	$58.5 \pm 15.9$	$62.2 \pm 11.6$	$64 \pm 8.1$	0.177
<b>Heart disease</b>	$\overline{2}$		6	12	27	$\overline{32}$	0.175
	1.66%	5.83%	$5\%$	10%	22.5%	30.5%	
<b>HT</b>	3		5	16	31	14	0.019
	2.5%	5.83%	4.16%	13.33%	25.8%	13.3%	
<b>DM</b>	3	4		8	20	12	0.262
	2.5%	3.3%	4.16%	6.66%	16.7%	11.4%	
<b>Thyroid disease</b>					$\overline{4}$	$\mathcal{P}$	0.507
	0.83%	0.83%	0.83%	$0.83\%$	3.3%	1.9%	
<b>Neurological disease</b>	$\overline{0}$	$\Omega$	$\overline{0}$				0.924
				0.8%	0.8%	$1\%$	
<b>Renal disease</b>	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$		0.284
						$1\%$	
Cor pulmonale	7	$\overline{12}$	6	$\overline{0}$	25	4	< 0.001
	5.83%	10%	$5\%$		20.8%	3.8%	
Other malignancies	$\Omega$			$\overline{0}$	$\mathcal{P}$	3	0.546
		0.83%	$0.83\%$		1.7%	2.9%	
<b>Obesity</b>			2	$\overline{0}$	4	$\mathcal{P}$	0.507
	%0.83	%0.83	%1.9		%3.3	1.9%	
<b>Total comorbidities</b>	12	16	16	18	62	$\overline{37}$	0.013
	10%	13.33%	13.33%	15%	51.7%	35.2%	

Table 1. Patient demographics and comorbidities in total lung cancer group, total control group and control subgroups.

F, female; M, male; SD, standart deviation, DM, diabetes mellitus; HT, hypertension; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ILD, interstitial lung disease; PTE, pulmonary thromboembolis; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; \*p-values show the comparisons between total control and total lung cancer groups.

Table 2. Comparison of NT-proBNP levels between lung cancer and control subgroups with comorbidities and between those without **comorbidities.**



NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-Type natriuretic peptide.

Table 3. Comparison of NT-proBNP levels between the subgroups with and without comorbidities within the lung cancer group and **within the control subgroups.**



NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; PTE, pulmonary thromboembolism; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ILD, interstitial lung disease.



### Table 4. NT-proBNP levels according to mediastinal invasion, tumor diameter and stage in lung cancer subgroup without comorbidities.

NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.

## **Table 5. NT-proBNP levels according to histological subtypes in lung cancer subgroup without comorbidities.**



NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; SCLC: small cell lung cancer.



Figure 1. The flowchart shows the study design and the number of patients and NT-proBNP levels in lung cancer group, control group **and their subgroups. NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ILD,** interstitial lung disease; PTE, pulmonary thromboembolism; mediast., mediastinal; tm, tumor; SCLC, small cell lung cancer; Adeno, **adenocarcinoma; Squamous, squamous cell carcinoma; w/o, without.**