



Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease

elSSN 2532-5264

https://www.monaldi-archives.org/

Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The *Early Access* service lets users access peer-reviewed articles well before print / regular issue publication, significantly reducing the time it takes for critical findings to reach the research community.

These articles are searchable and citable by their DOI (Digital Object Identifier).

The **Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease** is, therefore, e-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

All legal disclaimers applicable to the journal apply to this production process as well.

Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2025 [Online ahead of print]

To cite this Article:

Gupta S, Kumar R, Gupta NK, et al. Effect of high flow nasal cannula versus conventional nasal cannula oxygen therapy in patients undergoing endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* doi: 10.4081/monaldi.2025.3246

©The Author(s), 2025 *License*e <u>PAGEPress</u>, Italy

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Effect of high flow nasal cannula *versus* conventional nasal cannula oxygen therapy in patients undergoing endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration

Stuti Gupta,¹ Rohit Kumar,¹ Neeraj Kumar Gupta,¹ Manu Madan,² Pranav Ish,¹ Rajnish Kaushik,¹ Tanmaya Talukdar,¹ Nitesh Gupta¹

¹Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Vardhman Mahavir Medical College and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi; ²Max Institute of Respiratory, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Max Superspeciality Hospital, Saket, India

Correspondence: Nitesh Gupta, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Vardhman Mahavir Medical College and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi, India. Tel.: 9873096364. E-mail: <u>niteshgupta2107@gmail.com</u>

Contributions: NKG, NG, RKu, MM, SG, conceptualization; NG, MM, SG, formal analysis; NKG, NG, RKu, PI, MM, SG, methodology; NG, RKa, PI, MM, SG, project administration; NG, MM, supervision; SG, writing original draft; NG, writing review and editing.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest regarding the current study.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: ethical clearance taken from the Institutional Ethics Committee, VMMC, and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi with serial no IEC/VMMC/SJH/Thesis/9/2022/CC-14, dated 6/10/2022

Informed consent: written informed consent has been obtained from all patients for the publication of the data.

Availability of data and materials: data and materials are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Funding: none.

Abstract

Patients undergoing endobronchial ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration may have multiple comorbidities, contributing to higher risks of hypoxia and adverse events, such as arrhythmias. The current study compared the efficacy of two oxygenation modalities: the high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) vs. conventional oxygen therapy (CNC). Patients were randomized to either the HFNC or the CNC arm. HFNC and CNC were initiated and escalated as per predefined protocols. The number of desaturation events [fall in saturation of peripheral oxygen (SpO₂) by 3% from the baseline] and change in levels of transcutaneous CO₂ (tcCO₂) from baseline were noted. Subgroup analysis was done in patients with cardiopulmonary comorbidities and in patients with SpO₂<97%. A total of 122 patients were randomized. Overall, there was no significant difference in the number of desaturation events and change in tcCO₂ levels; however, in patients with cardiopulmonary comorbidities (obstructive sleep apnea, heart diseases, and stable chronic obstructive airway disease), 50% in the HFNC arm had no desaturation compared to 11.7% in the CNC arm (p=0.007). 41.17% of patients in the HFNC arm had a rise in tcCO₂ levels, compared to 36.11% of patients in the CNC arm (p>0.5). In patients with SpO₂<97%, 48.88% in the HFNC arm had no desaturations compared to 14.70% in the CNC arm (p=0.001); there was no statistical difference in rise in tcCO₂. Hence, HFNC would be a better modality for oxygenation in patients with a high risk of hypoxia without increasing the risk of hypercapnia.

Key words: bronchoscopy methods, endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration methods, hypercapnia, lymphadenopathy pathology, comorbidity.

Abbreviations

HFNC - High-flow nasal cannula, CNC - Conventional nasal cannula, FiO₂ - Fraction of Inhaled Oxygen, SpO₂ - Saturation of oxygen in the peripheral capillary, OSA - Obstructive Sleep Apnea, COPD - Chronic obstructive airway disease, CO₂ - Carbon dioxide, tcCO₂ - Transcutaneous carbon dioxide level, TBNA - Transbronchial needle aspiration, PEEP - Positive End Expiratory Pressure, NIV - Noninvasive ventilation, COT - Conventional Oxygen Therapy, FB - Fiberoptic bronchoscopy, EBUS - Endobronchial ultrasound, FNAC - Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology, PcCO₂ - Percutaneous carbon dioxide levels, EtCO₂ - End-tidal Carbon dioxide, OPD - Out-Patient Department, HR - Heart rate, BP - Blood pressure, RASS - Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale, SD - Standard Difference, BMI - Body Mass Index

Introduction

Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial fine needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) is a standard procedure for real-time sampling of mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes and parabronchial lung masses [1]. Like bronchoscopy, ventilation is impaired during EBUS due to multiple factors, including the diameter of EBUS scope, depth, and duration of sedation. The plausible mechanisms are the effect on ventilation-perfusion and increased airway resistance due to the device's presence in the airway [2]. These factors contribute to hypoxemia, particularly in patients with cardiopulmonary comorbidities (obesity, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), diffuse parenchymal lung disease, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), and heart failure) leading to increased risk of arrhythmias, which can have an adverse effect on health outcomes.

The Indian Guidelines for EBUS TBNA recommends the use of oxygen supplementation via common oxygen delivery devices (nasal prongs/nasopharyngeal catheter), along with pulse oximetry monitoring as a routine practice [3].

However, Conventional Oxygen Therapy (COT) systems have variable fraction of inspired oxygen concentration (FiO₂) concentration dependent on the patient's breathing pattern [4], heightening the risk of intra- and post-procedural hypoxemia and subsequent arrhythmias. In addition, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is a frequent comorbidity in this patient group. COPD patients have a risk of carbon dioxide (CO₂) retention in patients who are given supplemental oxygen, especially under sedation, prompting exploration of alternative modalities for better oxygenation control.

High-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) oxygen therapy offers both clinical (e.g., patient comfort and ease of use) and physiological benefits (e.g., high oxygenation, alveolar recruitment, humidification, and heating, increased secretion clearance, reduction of dead space), potentially mitigating lung function deterioration and avoiding the need of endotracheal intubation [5,6].

Sharma VK et al. conducted a triple-arm randomized trial comparing HFNC, COT, and noninvasive ventilation (NIV) in stable COPD patients undergoing fiberoptic bronchoscopy [7]. They found that saturation of oxygen in peripheral capillary (SpO2) nadirs during bronchoscopy was significantly lower with COT (87.03) compared to HFNC (95.57) and NIV (97.04). A metaanalysis by Roy A. et al. showed HFNC reduced desaturation events during bronchoscopy (RR 0.34) [8].

Studies specific to the use of HFNC in EBUS TBNA are limited. Ucar et al. reported fewer desaturations below 90% with HFNC (5 out of 85) compared to COT (26 out of 85) (p<0.0001),

with no data on CO2 levels [9]. Takakuwa et al. demonstrated HFNC's efficacy in preventing hypoxemia during EBUS FNAC, noting fewer desaturations (25% vs. 68.42% in COT, p<0.005) and similar trends in transcutaneous CO2 [10]. Irfan et al. found a significant saturation drop difference between HFNC and COT (p<0.001) but no CO2 differences [11]. Douglas N et al. reported no statistically significant benefit with HFNC in reducing desaturation in EBUS [12]. However, most of these studies did not include patients with preexisting cardiopulmonary comorbidities.

The current study aims to investigate the effectiveness of HFNC compared to COT in reducing desaturation events and preventing a rise in transcutaneous carbon dioxide (tcCO₂) levels during the EBUS-TBNA procedure.

Materials and Methods

Study settings

This study was conducted in the tertiary care university hospital in the Department of Pulmonology, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, New Delhi, over 18 months (2022-2024).

Study design

The current study was a single-center, double-arm study. The primary objective was the number of desaturations (defined as a fall in SpO₂ of 3% from baseline) during the EBUS FNA procedure. The secondary outcome was change in tcCO₂ levels from baseline. The study was initiated after approval from the Ethics and Research Committees [S. No. IEC/VMMC/SJH/Thesis/9/2022/CC-14] dated 6/10/2022

Participants

All patients over 18 years of age with mediastinal lesions measuring more than 0.5 cm in short axis were enrolled. Tracheostomy patients and pregnant females were excluded.

Randomization

After taking the informed written consent, the patients were randomized using tamper-proof sealed envelopes and allocated to either the HFNC arm (Group 1) or the conventional Nasal Cannulae (CNC) arm (Group 2). The randomization sequence was computer-generated by an independent expert and kept at the study site in sealed opaque envelopes opened just before the procedure. The research staff was not blinded.

Intervention

Pre-procedure vitals (Heart rate (HR), Blood pressure (BP), SpO₂, TcCO₂) were documented.

Patients allocated to CNC arm: Patient were started at O_2 flow rate of 2 L/min, and O_2 was increased in increment of 1L/min till patient's SpO₂> 90%

Patient allocated to High Flow Nasal Cannula (HFNC) arm: The patient was started at a flow rate of 30L/min, FiO₂ 28%, and FiO₂ was increased in increments of 4% till the patient's SpO₂> 90%.

The procedure was initiated after ensuring pre-procedure SpO₂ levels of >90%. We used EBUS Olympus BF - UC 180 F bronchoscope with EUME1 ultrasound processor (Olympus, Japan) for the procedure with a working channel of 2.2 mm & diameter of 6.3 mm. EBUS FNAC was carried out under local anesthesia (using 2% lignocaine spray to the vocal cords and the tracheobronchial tree) and moderate sedation with midazolam, targeting a Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale (RASS) level of Zero to minus one. Airway was sequentially screened for lymph nodes at the following stations: 7, 4R, 2R, 4L, 2L, 10R, 10L, and 11s, 11i & 11L. The treating intensivist targeted the largest lymph node, and three needle aspirates (each with ten passes) were made using a 21 G needle (Olympus, Japan). Glass slide-fixed smears and cell blocks were prepared. Aspirates were also processed for microbiological investigations, including staining for Mycobacterium tuberculosis smear, Xpert Mtb-RIF test, and Mycobacterial liquid cultures. The sample was sent for staining for cytological examination. Vital monitoring was done: HR, BP, SpO₂, and transcutaneous CO₂.

In the event of desaturation during the procedure: In patients with nasal cannula - FiO_2 was increased by 1L/min, observed for 10 seconds; if SpO_2 was still below 90%, FiO_2 was increased by another 1 L/min, till we got $SpO_2 > 90\%$.

In patients with HFNC - FiO_2 was increased by 4% and flow by 5L/min; observed for 10 seconds; if SpO₂ is still below 90%, FiO₂ was increased by 4% and flow by 5L/min till we got SpO₂ > 90%.

The number of desaturations (defined as a fall of 3% from baseline) was noted. At the end of the procedure, transcutaneous CO_2 levels were reported. The patient was assessed in the recovery room for 1 hour post-procedure and then discharged. Per our hospital protocol, all patients were followed up for one month for a clinical radiological response after the procedure.

Data collection

Baseline demographics and clinical symptoms were noted along with comorbidities such as obstructive sleep apnea (included patients who were already diagnosed with OSA), Heart disease (diagnosed Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, valvular heart disease), and diagnosed COPD (stable on management with inhaled bronchodilators). Detailed history & clinical examination were done, including the history of fever, chest symptoms, and any other systemic complaints. Patients underwent hematological examination (routine blood investigations and coagulation parameters where indicated). TcCO2 levels were recorded at the start and end of the procedure. The number of desaturations (defined as a fall of 3% from baseline) was noted during the procedure.

Statistical analysis

Concerning a previous study by Ucar et al. [9], the sample size was estimated using a finite population formula to be 61.

The demographic and procedural details were noted in Microsoft Excel, and patient reports and procedural videos were also stored in the department's system. Statistical analyses were performed using the Stata 16 package (StataCorp. 2019. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC). Categorical variables were summarised as numbers (percentages), while quantitative variables were as mean (SD) or median (IQR). The Chi-squared test was used to compare categorical variables. Freeman-Halton's extension of Fisher's exact test was used for a 2X3 contingency table with any cell column less than 5.

Further subgroup analysis was done by characterizing patients as per the underlying cardiopulmonary comorbidity, which included patients with obstructive sleep apnea (included patients who were already diagnosed with OSA), Heart disease (diagnosed Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, valvular heart disease) and diagnosed COPD (stable on management with inhaled bronchodilators).

Patients were also characterized as per baseline SpO2 at the start of the procedure: >/= 97% and < 97%.

Results

One hundred thirty-two patients were screened. Baseline demographics of randomized patients are given in Table 1.

All patients were given sedation to achieve RASS -1 for the entire duration of the procedure, which was initiated with 1 mg of midazolam and 50 mcg of fentanyl. An additional dose of 1 mg midazolam was required in nine patients in the CNC group and seven in the HFNC group to achieve RASS -1.

The frequency of lymph nodes sampled is mentioned in Supplementary 1. The majority of patients had a single site sampled during the procedure, with subcarinal lymph node station as the most common sampled EBUS station (75.40% in HFNC & 77.04% in CNC), followed by right paratracheal (52.45% in HFNC group and 40.98% in CNC group). The least sampled site was the right upper paratracheal (4.91% in HFNC and 3.27% in CNC)

Primary outcome

A fall of 3% from baseline SpO₂ was counted as an individual desaturation (Table 2). There was no statistical difference in the two groups concerning the number of episodes of fall of SpO₂ by 3% from baseline. The median (range) in the HFNC group was 2 (0-6) versus 2 (0-10) in the CNC arm (p value= 0.26).

Secondary outcomes

The ordered waterfall plot shows the change in transcutaneous CO_2 [tc CO_2 end – tc CO_2 start] levels from the baseline for each group. Most patients had no change in their baseline CO_2 levels - 57.37% in the HFNC group compared to 62.29% in the CNC group. However, this was not found to be statistically significant (p = 0.57)

A further subgroup analysis was done in patients with cardiopulmonary comorbidities (COPD, preexisting heart diseases, and OSA):

1) Patients with cardiopulmonary comorbidities

50% of patients in the HFNC group had no desaturations (compared to baseline), compared to 11.47% of patients in the CNC arm. This was found to be statistically significant. (p-value 0.01) (Table 3).

Although 41.17% of patients in the HFNC arm and 36.11% of patients in the CNC arm had a rise in transcutaneous CO_2 at the end of the procedure, this was not found to be statistically significant (p-value 0.66) (Table 3).

2) A subgroup analysis was done between two groups with baseline $SpO_2 < 97\%$

Forty-five patients (73.77% of patients from the baseline group) in the HFNC arm and 34 patients (55.73% of patients from the baseline group) in the CNC arm had SpO₂ less than 97%.

48.88% of patients in the HFNC arm had no desaturation from baseline compared to 14.7% of patients in the CNC arm. This was found to be statistically significant (Table 4). 18 (40%) patients in the HFNC arm had increased transcutaneous CO_2 levels from baseline versus 15 (44.11%) patients in the CNC arm. However, this was not found to be statistically significant. (p-value 0.123) (Table 4).

Discussion

The study included 122 patients who required the EBUS-guided sampling of lymph nodes and masses for their diagnosis and disease management. The frequency of lymph nodes sampled is mentioned in Supplementary 1. Most patients had a single site sampled during the procedure, with the subcarinal lymph node station being the most common sampled EBUS station.

The sample size in the current study is comparable to the number of patients in the study by Ucar et al. where he randomized eighty-five patients in each arm [9]. Irfan et al. randomized 20 patients in each arm [11]. Takakuwa et al. had 12 patients in the HFNC arm compared to 19 historical cohorts [10]. Douglas et al. had 30 patients in each arm [12].

The protocol for initiation of the CNC & HFNC arm varied between studies. In our study, patients enrolled in the nasal cannula group, were started oxygen flow rate of 2 L/min. Other authors had higher flow rates at the beginning of the procedure. Ucar gave a nasal cannula at 5 L/min [9], and Douglas started with 10 L/min via bite block [12]. In the current study, patients in the HFNC arm were started at 28% FiO₂ and a flow rate of 30 L/min. The 28% mark was chosen to make both arms comparable in baseline. Takakuwa initiated HFNC at 30% FiO₂ and 40L/min flow [10]. Ucar started patients on 35 L/min and FiO₂ 40% [9]. Irfan started the patients on HFNC at FiO₂ 100% with 30 L/min flow during the preparation period, and the flow was increased to 70 L/min at the start of the procedure [11]. Douglas et al. also gave 100% FiO₂, the flow rate was variable and kept between 30-70% depending on patient comfort [12]. Compared to all the above studies, the present study had the least FiO₂ & flow at the initiation.

The protocol for escalation of HFNC & CNC also varied in the literature. For the HFNC group, after desaturation, Douglas intervened by increasing flow in the HFNC arm to 70 L/min [12]; Takauwa allowed increments of 10% FiO₂ to keep SpO₂ above 90%. Ucar et al. [9] & Irfan [11] didn't increase the flow rates or FiO₂ and noted the number of desaturations. In our patients,

FiO₂ was increased by 4% sequentially till SpO₂ > 90%. In the CNC arm, we increased flow by 1 L/min sequentially till SpO₂ > 90%. Douglas et al. [12] increased the flow via block bite to 15 L/min in the event of desaturation. Ucar [9] & Irfan [11] didn't increase the flow rates or FiO₂ and noted the number of desaturations. A study by Takakuwa used a historical cohort of patients on CNC undergoing EBUS FNA and noted only the number of desaturations [10].

The definition of desaturation varied between studies. The present study defined desaturations as a fall from the patient's baseline of 3%. Ucar [9] & Douglas [12] defined desaturation as SpO₂ <90%. Irfan [11] & Takakuwa [10] noted the lowest SpO₂ during the procedure from baseline. There was no significant difference between the HFNC arm and the CNC arm in the number of desaturations, with a fall of 3% from baseline in our study, although the range of desaturations was less in the HFNC arm. Douglas et al. also found no significant difference but found an absolute reduction of 21%, a relative risk reduction of 40%, and a number needed to treat 4.7 [12]. Irfan et al. found that the difference in a drop in oxygen saturation compared to baseline between the two groups was significant [11]. The number of desaturations in the CNC arm ranged between 6-8 versus 0-2 in the HFNC arm. There was a difference of 7.7% between the two groups. Only 5% of patients in the HFNC arm had desaturation to <90% during the procedure compared to 55% in the CNC arm. Takakuwa found that 68.42% of patients in the CNC group had desaturation below 90% compared to 25% of patients in the HFNC arm [10]. Also, the lowest SpO₂ was 77% in the CNC arm compared to 84% in the HFNC arm. This was found to be statistically significant. They also noticed that even after increasing FiO₂, the hypoxia duration was longer in the CNC arm. Ucar also had significantly lesser desaturations in the HFNC group (5 in HFNC versus 26 in CNC) [9]. SpO₂ at the end of the procedure was significantly higher in the HFNC group.

The nonsignificant difference in desaturation in our study could have been due to comparatively lower FiO₂ and flows in HFNC and also attributable to lighter sedation used in our studies. It may be possible that higher sedation may have predisposed patients to more desaturations in nasal cannula arms in the above studies. In a study by Douglas et al. patients were on maximum FiO₂ already [12]. The effect on desaturations due to higher sedation may have been overshadowed by the use of higher FiO₂ and flows used in all previous studies. Also, our study included a much more comprehensive range of patients, with significant patients with cardiorespiratory comorbidity and SpO₂ <94%.

Only two previous studies studied changes in CO₂ levels with oxygenation modality. Irfan et al. [11] noted that the venous and EtCO₂ levels during the procedure were similar in both arms and

venous pCO₂ levels seen 1 hour post-procedure were also identical. Takakuwa [10], like us, used a transcutaneous CO₂ monitor. He found the mean of highest pCO₂ in 12 patients in the HFNC arm to be 39 mm Hg. Takakuwa assessed the peak cutaneous CO₂ levels [10], whereas our study assessed the change in transcutaneous CO₂ levels during the procedure, categorizing them as an increase from baseline or no increase in the baseline. Change in CO₂ level was deemed as an essential outcome in patients with COPD and OSA, specifically when higher FiO₂ delivery devices may ameliorate the hypoxic drive. In our study, although more patients in the HFNC group had an increase in tcCO₂ compared to CNC, this was not statistically significant.

Subgroup analysis of patients with cardiorespiratory abnormality

Patients with underlying cardiopulmonary comorbidity (COPD, OSA, and heart failure) were deemed to have a high risk for hypoxia. Also, these patients were believed to respond more acutely with hypoxia due to low reserve and were considered to be at higher risk of arrhythmias due to hypoxia. These patients have traditionally been excluded from clinical trials. In our study, 12 patients in the HFNC arm had OSA compared to 14 patients in the CNC arm. Twenty patients in the HFNC arm and 17 patients in the CNC arm had COPD, and 11 patients in both HFNC & CNC arms had heart disease. Takakuwa only had two patients with prior cardiorespiratory comorbidity in both arms, and these patients had lower baseline SpO₂ than other groups [10]. None of them were screened for OSA. Ucar [9] only considered Coronary artery disease and Hypertension comorbidity during baseline, which were well-controlled and excluded patients with BMI > 30. In the study by Irfan et al. [11], 52.5% of patients had lung cancer, along with five patients with sarcoidosis. Douglas had a comparable number of patients with obstructive airway disease, malignancy [12], OHS, or OSA in both arms. However, none of the studies specifically analyzed the effect of oxygenation modality in these high-risk patients. Thus, the current study is the first with a significant proportion of patients deemed at high risk of hypoxia. The current study found significantly lesser desaturations in patients randomized to the HFNC arm than in the CNC arm.

In Subgroup Analysis of patients with SpO₂<97%

No patient had $SpO_2 < 97\%$ in the HFNC arm in the study by Takakuwa et al. [10]. Only one patient in the CNC arm had a baseline SpO_2 of 96%. No other research performed analysis based on baseline SpO_2 levels. We stratified patients based on baseline SpO_2 and found significantly lesser desaturations in the HFNC arm.

Thus, our study is the first to precisely assess the utility of these oxygenation delivery devices in high-risk populations as most patients are denied the procedure, citing high risk and potential for landing in respiratory failure post-procedure. HFNC can also provide better oxygenation in hypoxic patients requiring EBUS procedures. The study's limitations were that it was single-center, the procedure duration was not noted, the effect on yield or patient or operator comfort was not evaluated, and cost analysis was not done.

Conclusions

The high-flow nasal cannula is an effective oxygenation modality to prevent hypoxia in high-risk patients undergoing endobronchial ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration of mediastinal lymph nodes and masses. Future studies may be needed to confirm its cost-effectiveness.

References

1. Wahidi MM, Herth F, Yasufuku K, et al. Technical aspects of endobronchial ultrasoundguided transbronchial needle aspiration: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. Chest 2016;149:816-35.

2. Matsushima Y, Jones RL, King EG, et al. Alteration in pulmonary mechanics and gas Exchange during routine fiberoptic bronchoscopy. Chest 1984;86:184-8.

3. Mohan A, Madan K, Hadda V, et al. Guidelines for endobronchial ultrasound-transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA): Joint Indian Chest Society (ICS)/Indian Association for Bronchology (IAB) recommendations. Lung India 2023;40:368-400.

4. Oczkowski S, Ergan B, Bos L, et al. ERS clinical practice guidelines: high-flow nasal cannula in acute respiratory failure. Eur Respir J 2022;59:2101574.

5. Nishimura M. High-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy in adults: physiological benefits, indication, clinical benefits, and adverse effects. Respir Care 2016:529-41.

6. Ricard J-D, Roca O, Lemiale V, et al. Use of nasal high flow oxygen during acute respiratory failure. Intensive Care Med 2020;46:2238-47.

7. Sharma VK, Singh PK, Govindagoudar MB, et al. Efficacy of different respiratory supports to prevent hypoxia during flexible bronchoscopy in patients of COPD: a triple-arm, randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open Respir Res 2023;10:e001524.

8. Roy A, Khanna P, Chowdhury SR, et al. The impact of high-flow nasal cannula vs other oxygen delivery devices during bronchoscopy under sedation: a systematic review and metaanalyses. Indian J Crit Care Med 2022;26:1131-40. 9. Yilmazel Ucar E, Araz Ö, Kerget B, et al. Comparison of high-flow and conventional nasal cannula oxygen in patients undergoing endobronchial ultrasonography. Intern Med J 2021;51:1935-9.

10. Takakuwa O, Oguri T, Asano T, et al. Prevention of hypoxemia during endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration: usefulness of high-flow nasal cannula. Respir Investig 2018;56:418-23.

11. Irfan M, Ahmed M, Breen D. Assessment of high flow nasal cannula oxygenation in endobronchial ultrasound bronchoscopy: a randomized controlled trial. J Bronchology Interv Pulmonol 2021;28:130-7.

12. Douglas N, Ng I, Nazeem F, et al. A randomised controlled trial comparing high-flow nasal oxygen with standard management for conscious sedation during bronchoscopy. Anaesthesia 2018;73:169-76.

nusui cumulu și oup.		
Characteristics	HFNC (n=61)	CNC (n=61)
Age (in years)	50.57 +/- 17.05	47.57 +/- 15.28
Male Sex	36 (59%)	32 (52.5%)
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.43 +/- 3.42	24.185 +/- 3.42
Baseline SpO ₂	94.98 +/- 2.26	96.46 +/- 1.82
STOP BANG		
0-2	39 (63.93%)	46 (75.40%)
3-4	11 (18.03%)	6 (9.83%)
5-8	11 (18.03%)	9 (14.75%)
Patients with cardiopulmonary		
comorbidities		
No risk factors	17 (27.86%)	13 (21.31%)
COPD	20 (32.78%)	17 (27.86%)
Heart Disease	11 (18.03%)	11 (18.03%)
OSA	12 (19.67%)	14 (22.95%)

Table 1. Baseline demographic data of patients in High-flow nasal cannula and conventional nasal cannula group.

HFNC, high flow nasal cannula; CNC, conventional nasal cannula; BMI, body mass index; SpO₂, saturation of peripheral oxygen; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea.

Table 2. Comparison between the number of patients with desaturations (Fall of 3% from baseline SpO₂ Values) and change of transcutaneous CO₂ levels from baseline between the conventional nasal cannula group and high flow nasal cannula group.

		0	
	HFNC (n=61)	CNC (n=61)	p-value
Number of patients with	p-value = 0.26		
Zero	25 (40.98%)	17 (27.86%)	
1-3	25 (40.98%)	28 (45.90%)	
>/= 4	11 (18.03%)	16 (26.22%)	
Change in baseline of tra			
No Increase from the	35 (57.37%)	38 (62.29%)	p-value 0.57
baseline			
Increase from baseline	26 (42.62%)	23 (37.70%)	

Table 2 shows primary and secondary outcome variables in the HFNC and CNC arm. HFNC, high flow nasal cannula; CNC, conventional nasal cannula; SpO₂, saturation of peripheral oxygen; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen concentration; CO₂, carbon dioxide.

Table 3. Comparison between the number of patients with desaturations (Fall of 3% from baseline SpO₂ values) and change of transcutaneous CO₂ levels from baseline between the conventional nasal cannula group and high flow nasal cannula group in a subgroup of patients with cardiopulmonary comorbidity

/	1		
	HFNC (n=34)	CNC (n=36)	
Number of patients with			
No desaturations	17 (50%)	7 (11.47%)	p-value = 0.007
Desaturations present	17% (50%)	29 (80.55%)	
1-3	8 (13.11%)	19 (31.14%)	
>/=4	9 (14.75%)	10 (16.39%)	
Change in baseline of transcutaneous CO ₂ levels			p-value = 0.66
No Change	20 (58.82%)	23 (63.88%)	
Increase from baseline	14 (41.17%)	13 (36.11%)	

Table 3 shows the primary & secondary outcomes in the patients with the cardiopulmonary comorbidity subgroup. HFNC, high flow nasal cannula; CNC, conventional nasal cannula; SpO₂, saturation of peripheral oxygen; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen concentration; CO₂, carbon dioxide.

Table 4. Comparison between the number of patients with desaturations (Fall of 3% from baseline SpO₂ Values), number of patients with desaturations below 90% (lasting 10 seconds), FiO₂ at the end of the procedure, and change of transcutaneous CO₂ levels from baseline between the conventional nasal cannula group and high flow nasal cannula group in the subgroup of patients with SpO₂ < 97%.

	HFNC (n=45)	CNC (n=34)	
Desaturations (Fall below 3% from baseline)			p-value 0.001
No desaturation	22 (48.88%)	5 (14.70%)	
Desaturations present	23 (51.11%)	29 (85.29%)	
Change in baseline of transc	p-value = 0.71		
No Change	27 (60.00%)	19 (55.88%)	
Increase from baseline	18 (40.00%)	15 (44.11%)	

Table 4 shows primary and secondary results in the subgroup of patients with $SpO_2 < 97\%$. HFNC, high flow nasal cannula; CNC, conventional nasal cannula; SpO_2 , saturation of peripheral oxygen; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen concentration; CO₂, carbon dioxide.